

**Revista Mexicana de
Astronomía y Astrofísica**

Revista Mexicana de Astronomía y Astrofísica

ISSN: 0185-1101

rmaa@astroscu.unam.mx

Instituto de Astronomía

México

Van Loo, S.

Non-Thermal Radio Emission from Single Hot Stars

Revista Mexicana de Astronomía y Astrofísica, vol. 15, septiembre, 2003, p. 230

Instituto de Astronomía

Distrito Federal, México

Available in: <http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=57115096>

- How to cite
- Complete issue
- More information about this article
- Journal's homepage in redalyc.org

redalyc.org

Scientific Information System

Network of Scientific Journals from Latin America, the Caribbean, Spain and Portugal

Non-profit academic project, developed under the open access initiative

NON-THERMAL RADIO EMISSION FROM SINGLE HOT STARS

S. Van Loo¹

Many O stars are observable at radio wavelengths, due to thermal emission from the ionized gas in the stellar wind. The emergent radio spectrum has a characteristic spectral index ~ 0.6 (i.e., $F_\nu \propto \lambda^{-0.6}$). However, about 25% of the brightest O stars have a radio spectrum that differs from the thermal radio emission (Bieging, Abbott, & Churchwell 1989). This *non-thermal* radio emission is believed to be synchrotron radiation from relativistic particles accelerated in shocks (White 1985). Such shocks could come from colliding winds or from the instability of the driving mechanism of the wind. The magnetic field in hot stars needed to produce a synchrotron spectrum is below the current detection limits.

In the presence of shocks and a magnetic field, electrons are accelerated to relativistic energies (Bell 1978). The acceleration of the electrons results in a power-law distribution for the momentum p (with exponent n). For reasons of simplicity, we also assume a power law (with exponent δ) for the spatial dependence of the distribution: $N(r, p) dr dp \propto r^{-\delta} p^{-n} dr dp$.

Due to the very large free-free opacity of the wind, photons emitted below the characteristic radius R_ν (Wright & Barlow 1975) are absorbed. Thus, the synchrotron radiation must be formed outside R_ν . Further, it can be expected that beyond a certain position in the wind, shocks are too weak to produce synchrotron-emitting particles. Therefore, we introduce R_{\max} as the outer boundary of the synchrotron emission region (Compton cooling prevents electrons from carrying their energy very far from a shock).

In the region where the synchrotron emission is emitted, the magnetic field can be expressed as: $B \sim 1/r$, assuming spherical symmetry. We take into account the Razin-effect, which causes synchrotron radiation to be suppressed at higher wavelengths.

We explored the parameter space of the model for a specific observation of Cyg OB2 No. 9. The most important result (see Figure 1) is that R_{\max} is well constrained, although the model also depends on other parameters (n and δ). The outer bound-




Fig. 1. All the combinations of n , δ and R_{\max} that fit the VLA observations (1984 December 21) for Cyg OB2 No. 9 lie within the boomerang-shaped region. Different projections are plotted to situate the solutions in the parameter space. The following stellar parameters were used: $R_* = 22 R_\odot$, $v_\infty = 2900 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, $D = 1.82 \text{ kpc}$, $B_* = 100 \text{ Gauss}$, $T_{\text{wind}} = 15,000 \text{ K}$ and $\dot{M} = 2 \times 10^{-5} M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$. The flux densities: $5.7 \pm 0.1 \text{ mJy}$ for 2 cm, $7.4 \pm 0.1 \text{ mJy}$ for 6 cm and $4.9 \pm 0.1 \text{ mJy}$ for 20 cm.

ary R_{\max} of the synchrotron emitting region must lie between $785 R_*$ and $875 R_*$. It is unexpected that shocks can survive to such distances.

The present model assumes a wind with shocks, but without clumping. Yet, shocks in the wind compress the gas and form clumps. This has an effect on the formation region of the radio emission. It can be shown (e.g., from Abbott, Bieging, & Churchwell 1981) that the characteristic radius changes as: $R_\nu^{\text{clumped}} = R_\nu^{\text{smooth}}/f_{\text{cl}}$. For a clumped wind, R_ν will be lower and R_{\max} will follow.

REFERENCES

- Abbott, D. C., Bieging, J. H., & Churchwell, E. B. 1981, ApJ, 250, 645
- Bell, A. R. 1978, MNRAS, 182, 147
- Bieging, J. H., Abbott, D. C., & Churchwell, E. B. 1989, ApJ, 340, 518
- White, R. L. 1985, ApJ, 289, 698
- Wright, A. E., & Barlow, M. J. 1975, MNRAS, 170, 41

¹Royal Observatory of Belgium, Ringlaan 3, B-1180 Brussels, Belgium (Sven.VanLoo@oma.be).