



Pesquisa Brasileira em Odontopediatria e
Clínica Integrada

ISSN: 1519-0501

apesb@terra.com.br

Universidade Federal da Paraíba
Brasil

KAMBER-CESIR, Alma; DZONLAGIC, Amela; AJANOVIC, Muhamed; DELALIC, Adela
Assessment of Patient's Satisfaction with the Partial Removable Denture Therapy
Pesquisa Brasileira em Odontopediatria e Clínica Integrada, vol. 11, núm. 2, abril-junio, 2011, pp. 171-
175
Universidade Federal da Paraíba
Paraíba, Brasil

Available in: <http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=63721615004>

- How to cite
- Complete issue
- More information about this article
- Journal's homepage in redalyc.org

redalyc.org

Scientific Information System
Network of Scientific Journals from Latin America, the Caribbean, Spain and Portugal
Non-profit academic project, developed under the open access initiative

Assessment of Patient's Satisfaction with the Partial Removable Denture Therapy

Avaliação da Satisfação do Paciente com o Uso de Prótese Parcial Removível

Alma KAMBER-ČESIR¹, Amela DŽONLAGIĆ¹, Muhamed AJANOVIĆ¹, Adela DELALIĆ²

¹ Sarajevo University, School of Dental Medicine, Prosthodontics.

² Sarajevo University, School of Economy.

RESUMO

Objetivo: Avaliar a satisfação do paciente portador de prótese parcial removível com relação à retenção, estética, fala, mastigação e conforto da prótese. Avaliou-se ainda a influência de fatores, tais como o uso de prótese dentária anterior, idade, sexo, estado civil, escolaridade, presença de doença crônica e tabagismo.

Método: O estudo foi feito na Clínica do Departamento de Prótese Removível da Faculdade de Medicina Dentária em Sarajevo. Foram analisados 75 pacientes portadores de prótese parcial removível, os quais responderam a um questionário contendo informações referentes ao uso de prótese, idade, sexo, estado civil, escolaridade, presença de doença crônica, tabagismo, os quais foram classificados com notas de 1 a 5.

Resultados: A nota média mais alta foi dada para a fala com prótese removível superior (4,73), e a menor foi para a mastigação com ambas as próteses (3,97). Considerando a mastigação com próteses parciais, as mulheres estavam mais satisfeitas do que os homens.

Conclusão: Os pacientes estão em sua maioria satisfeitos com suas próteses dentárias, as quais foram julgadas como satisfatórias pelo cirurgião-dentista. Existem diferenças entre os sexos com o nível de satisfação com as próteses com relação à mastigação.

ABSTRACT

Objective: The aim of this study was to assess patient's satisfaction with retention, aesthetics, chewing, speech and comfort of wearing removable partial denture. Also, the aim of this study is to assess the influence of factors, such as previous wearing of denture, age, gender, marital status, level of education, presence of chronic disease and smoking habits on patient's satisfaction with therapy.

Method: Study was done at Clinic and Department of Removable Prosthodontics, School of Dental Medicine in Sarajevo. In study were involved 75 patients who have removable partial denture. They filled out questionnaire with personal data about wearing of the denture, age, gender, marital status, level of education, presence of chronic disease, smoking habits and they graded some aspects of wearing denture with grade from 1 to 5.

Results: The highest average grade is given for speech with upper removable denture(4.73), and the lowest was for chewing with both dentures (3.97). Considering the chewing with partial dentures, women were more satisfied than men.

Conclusion: Patients are mostly satisfied with their dentures, which are judged as satisfactory by dentist. There is difference between patients of different gender in a level of satisfaction with their dentures in chewing.

DESCRIPTORES

Satisfação do paciente; Prótese parcial; Prótese Parcial Removível.

KEY-WORDS

Patient satisfaction; Denture partial; Denture, Partial, Removable.

INTRODUCTION

Partial denture is removable prosthesis which compensates missing teeth and alveolar bone after the loss of teeth¹. Removable partial denture plays role in restoring functions of mastication, phonation and aesthetics, but also it should have proper relationships to remaining teeth, the periodontal and the mucous tissues².

Masticatory efficiency can be improved by wearing of removable partial denture in partially edentulous patient³. Quality of patient's life becomes better when aesthetics and functions of stomatognathic system are improved. The clinician's ability to provide better RPDs may have the potential to contribute to better oral health⁴. As a consequence of a differing clinical approaches and a dentists' and patients' individual psychological profiles, the number of removable prostheses is smaller than one may have expected⁵. Except dentist's skill and quality of prosthesis, subjective patient's estimate has importance in final assessment of success of the treatment⁶.

In prosthodontics sometimes we have patients who are unsatisfied with their removable dentures although they are graded as good enough by dentist. Patients are not always satisfied with some construction which are proposed by dentist as the best solution. The knowledge how patients use partial denture would be useful for both dentist and patient in moment when decision about treatment is made⁶. Subjective assessment of partial denture is given by patient and patient's satisfaction is hardly to define⁷.

One third of the respondents believed their prosthesis required adjustment or replacement, and one fourth said the removable partial denture had caused a problem with the natural teeth⁸. Many authors have tried to find out which factors have influence on patient's satisfactory. Previous author consider that biological, mechanical, esthetic, and psychological factors are related to acceptance of prosthesis and, consequently, to the success of treatment⁹.

Some authors investigated how social conditions under which patients live may influence their acceptance of dentures. They concluded that factors as patient's marital status, occupation, interests, social activities, economy, housing conditions, relationships with neighbours have no significant effects in this respect¹⁰. But other people's opinion of their new dentures appeared to influence denture acceptance strongly. The nature of the comments that patients received after the insertion of the new dentures showed a highly significant correlation with denture acceptance.

Aesthetics, mastication, phonation, the number of missing teeth were found to be associated with satisfaction in RPD wearers^{7,11,12}. The material, stress distribution, denture base extension and standards of removable partial denture construction were not found

retention, chewing, comfort, aesthetics, and hygiene (patient evaluation)⁹. Subjects with a RPD were in general less satisfied with their dental state than person without partial denture. They found no differences in satisfaction between persons with tooth-bounded and distal extension prosthesis¹⁵. The Kennedy's classification of partial edentulousness also doesn't influence on patient's satisfaction with prosthesis⁷. Complete denture wearers were significantly more satisfied with chewing, speech and retention of maxillary denture than RPD wearers, but RPD wearers were significantly more satisfied with retention and comfort of wearing mandibular denture¹⁶.

The aim of this study is to assess patient's satisfaction with retention, aesthetic, chewing, speech and comfort of RPD, and to estimate the influence of factors such as previous experience of wearing denture, age, gender, marital status, level of education, presence of chronic disease and smoking habit on patient's satisfaction with RPD.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A total of 75 patients with removable partial denture were examined at the Department of Removable Prosthodontics, School of Dental Medicine, University in Sarajevo. There were 53 females and 22 males, in the age between 28 and 86 years. According to age they were divided into 3 groups: subjects younger than 39, subjects from 40 to 59 and subjects older than 60.

We used questionnaire, which was devised for a purpose of this study, divided in two parts. The first part was consisted from questions about previous experience of wearing denture, age, gender, marital status, education level, chronic disease and smoking habit. In second part participants graded some aspects of wearing denture (retention, aesthetic, chewing, speech and comfort of RPD) using the scale from 1 to 5 (from 1 = dissatisfaction to 5 = excellent, which is a common grading scale in our educational system).


RESULTS

Histograms of the frequencies of variables are shown in graphics 1-6.


In Tables 1, 2 it is shown that the highest grade is for speech with upper denture (4,73), and the lowest for chewing with both dentures (3,97).

Normality of the variance distribution was tested using the Shapiro-Wilk's test, and there was abnormal distribution.


The significance of the differences between variables was tested using Mann-Whitney's U test and Kruskal-Wallis's test, which revealed significant difference between male and female in satisfaction with




Graphic 1. Distribution of patients according to age.



Graphic 2. Distribution of patients according to gender.



Graphic 3. Distribution of patients according to marital status.



Graphic 4. Distribution of patients according to education level.





Table 1. Statistical parameters of retention lower denture, aesthetics lower denture, retention upper denture and aesthetics upper denture

	Retention lower denture	Aesthetics lower denture	Retention upper denture	Aesthetics upper denture
Average grade	4.20	4.66	4.66	4.62
Median	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Mod	5	5	5	5
Standard deviation	0.962	0.570	.522	.610

Table 2. Statistical parameters of chewing, speech and comfort

		Chewing	Speech	Comfort
Lower denture	Average grade	4.04	4.57	4.32
	Median	4.00	5.00	4.00
	Mod	4	5	4
	Standard deviation	.793	.634	.670
Upper denture	Average grade	4.64	4.73	4.45
	Median	5.00	5.00	5.00
	Mod	5	5	5
	Standard deviation	.505	.467	.688
Both dentures	Average grade	3.97	4.36	4.14
	Median	4.00	5.00	4.00
	Mod	4	5	5
	Standard deviation	.971	.798	.961

Table 3. Results of Mann-Whitney U test by testing differences in satisfaction with retention lower denture, aesthetics lower denture, retention upper denture, aesthetics upper denture, chewing, speech and comfort according to factor: the gender

	R.U.D.	R.L.D.	AE.U.D.	AE.L.D.	Chewing	Speech	Comfort
Mann-Whitney U	215.500	413.000	219.000	364.000	426.000	495.500	446.000
Wilcoxon W	810.500	603.000	814.000	554.000	679.000	748.500	699.000
Z	-.161	-.231	-.058	-1.169	-1.977	-1.177	-1.737
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.872	.818	.954	.242	.048	.239	.082

a. Grouping Variable: Spol

DISCUSSION

The influence of different factors on patient's satisfaction has already been studied in several studies. Many authors have agreed that some factors have influence on patient's satisfaction with partial denture. These factors are: aesthetics, retention, speech, number of missing teeth, oral hygiene habits^{7,11,12}.

Azadeh i Mahdavian¹⁷ found that women and patients older than 50 years were more dissatisfied in accepting partial denture than other patients. According

satisfaction, because younger patients were more dissatisfied with aesthetics of denture. There is a significant correlation between patient attitudes towards ageing and patient acceptance of new denture¹⁹. Akeel²⁰ found no significant associations between patient satisfaction and age, or denture experience, which is in agreement with our results. Weinstein et al.²¹ think that the age was not a significant predictor of denture success.

According to Frank⁸, the patients who had experience with previous RPDs were more satisfied than the patients with their first ever RPDs. In Knezović-Zlatarić et al.¹² study, no difference was found in the

According to our results, statistically significant difference was found between males and females for satisfaction with chewing. Of all factors estimated in our study, only gender was found to have influence on patient's satisfaction. Males with partial denture were less satisfied with chewing than females, which is in agreement with Knezović-Zlatarić et al.⁶. Wakabayashi et al.¹⁸ found that females were less satisfied with comfort than males. With respect to the acceptance of removable partial denture, there was a difference among gender²².

Although we expected to find the difference between patients with different education level, that was not found in the results. The possible reason for this is small number of patients included in this study. According to other studies, patients with higher level of education gave lower grades for aesthetics of denture^{6,10,22}.

CONCLUSION

1. Patients were mostly satisfied with their removable partial dentures, which were evaluated as satisfactory by dentist.
2. Males with partial denture were less satisfied with chewing than females.
3. Factors as previous wearing of denture, age, gender, marital status, level of education, presence of the chronic disease, smoking habits did not make any influence on the patient's satisfaction with the partial denture therapy.

REFERENCES

1. Stamenković D, Nastić M. *Zavod za udžbenike i nastavna sredstva*. Beograd; 1999.
2. Suvin M. *Djelomična proteza*. Školska knjiga Zagreb; 1991.
3. Paik J, Park NS. A study on masticatory performance and muscle activity in removable partial denture wearers. *Korean Acad Prosthodont* 1998; 36(1):81-103.
4. Inukai M, Baba K, John MT, Igarashi Y. Does removable partial denture quality affect individuals' oral health. *J Dent Research* 2008; 87(8):736-9.
5. Martti Juha Nevalainen. *Prosthetic rehabilitation of missing teeth and oral health in the elderly [dissertation]*. Helsinki, Finland: University of Helsinki; 2004.
6. Knezović-Zlatarić D, Čelebić A, Valentić-Peruzović M, Jerolimov V, Čelić R, Filipović-Zore I, Alajbeg I. Pacijentova procjena uspješnosti protetske terapije djelomičnim protezama. *Acta Stomat Croat* 2000;365-72.
7. Knezović-Zlatarić D, Čelebić A, Valentić-Peruzović M, Pandurić J, Čelić R, Poljak-Guberina R. Utjecaj klasifikacije djelomične bezubosti po Kennedyju, materijala i konstrukcije na zadovoljstvo pacijenata djelomičnim protezama. *Acta Stomat Croat* 2001; 69-76.
8. Frank RP, Milgrom P, Leroux BG, and Hawkins NR. Treatment outcomes with mandibular removable partial dentures: A population-based study of patient satisfaction. *J Prosthet Dent* 1998; 80:36-45.
9. Berg E, Johnsen TB, Ingebretsen R. Social variables and patient acceptance of complete dentures. *Acta Odontol Scand* 1985; 43:199-203.
10. Knezović-Zlatarić D, Čelebić A. Factors related to patients' general satisfaction with removable partial dentures: a stepwise multiple regression analysis. *Int J Prosthodont* 2008; 21(1):86-8.
11. Knezović Zlatarić D, Čelebić A, M. Valentić-Peruzović, V. Jerolimov & J. Pandurić. A survey of treatment outcomes with removable partial dentures. *J Oral Rehabilitation* 2003; 30(8):847-54.
12. Frank RP, Brudvik JS, Leroux B, Milgrom P, Hawkins N. Relationship between the standards of removable partial denture construction, clinical acceptability, and patient satisfaction. *J Prosthet Dent* 2000; 83(5):521-7.
13. Jepson NJ, Thomason JM, Steele JG. The influence of denture design on patient acceptance of partial dentures. *Brit Dent J* 1995; 178:296-300.
14. Van Waas M, Meeuwissen J, Meeuwissen R, Käyser A, Kalk W, Van 't Hof M. Relationship between wearing a removable partial denture and satisfaction in the elderly. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol* 1994;22:315-8.
15. Knezović-Zlatarić D, Čelebić A. A comparison of patient's satisfaction between complete and partial removable denture wearers. *J Dent* 2003; 31(7):445-51.
16. Asadzadeh N, Mahdavian J. Evaluation of removable partial denture problems in patients. *The Preliminary Program for IADR General Session & Exhibition;2006; June 28- July 1*.
17. Wakabayashi N, Yatabe M, Ai M, Sato M, Nakamura K. The influence of some demographic and clinical variables on psychosomatic traits of patients requesting replacement removable partial dentures. *J Oral Rehabilitation* 2002; 25(7):507-12.
18. Berg E, Johnsen TB, Ingebretsen R. Psychological variables and patient acceptance of complete dentures. *Acta Odontol Scand* 1986; 44:17-22.
19. Akeel RF. Effect of the quality of removable prostheses on patient satisfaction. *J Contemp Dent Pract* 2009; 38(8):604-14.
20. Weinstein M, Schuchman J, Lieberman J, Rosen P. Age and denture experience as determinants in patient denture satisfaction. *J Prosthet Dent* 1988; 59(3):327-9.
21. Baran I, Ergün G, Semiz M. Socio-Demographic and economic factors affecting the acceptance of removable dentures. *Eur J Dent* 2007; 1(2):104-10.

Recebido/Received: 27/10/10

Revisado/Reviewed: 17/01/11

Aprovado/Approved: 28/02/11

Correspondence:

Alma Kamber-Ćesir
Prosthodontics - School of Dental Medicine
Sarajevo University
SARAJEVO Bosnia and Herzegovina
Cell: 0038761329531
E mail: almakamber@yahoo.com

