

Ingeniería e Investigación

ISSN: 0120-5609

revii\_bog@unal.edu.co

Universidad Nacional de Colombia Colombia

Rodríguez-Gutiérrez, J. A.; Aristizabal-Ochoa, J. D.
Biaxial bending of slender HSC columns and tubes filled with concrete under short- and long-term loads: II) Verification
Ingeniería e Investigación, vol. 34, núm. 3, diciembre, 2014, pp. 19-25
Universidad Nacional de Colombia
Bogotá, Colombia

Available in: http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=64332888004



Complete issue

More information about this article

Journal's homepage in redalyc.org



# Biaxial bending of slender HSC columns and tubes filled with concrete under short- and long-term loads: II) Verification

## Flexión biaxial de las columnas esbeltas de concreto en alta resistencia y los tubos llenos de concreto bajo cargas a corto y largo plazo: II) Verificación

J. A. Rodríguez-Gutiérrez<sup>1</sup> and J. D. Aristizabal-Ochoa<sup>2</sup>

### **ABSTRACT**

An analytical method that calculates both the short- and long-term response of slender columns made of high-strength concrete (HSC) and of tubes filled with concrete with generalized end conditions that are subjected to transverse loads along the span and to axial loads at the ends (causing single- or double-curvature under uniaxial or biaxial bending) is presented in a companion paper. The columns that can be analyzed with this method include those with solid and hollow (rectangular, circular, oval, C-, T-, L-, or any arbitrary shape) cross sections and columns made of circular and rectangular steel tubes filled with HSC. In this paper, the validity of the proposed method is tested against experimental results from the technical literature that examined over seventy column specimens.

Keywords: Axial load, Biaxial bending, Columns, Composite materials, High-strength concrete, Deflections.

### **RESUMEN**

En un artículo adjunto se presenta el método analítico para calcular las respuestas, a corto y largo plazo, de las columnas esbeltas de concreto en alta resistencia (HSC) y de los tubos rellenos de hormigón; con condiciones de apoyo generalizados, sometidos a cargas transversales de luz y a cargas axiales excéntricas en los extremos (causando curvatura simple o doble bajo flexión uniaxial o biaxial).

Los tipos de columnas que pueden ser analizadas son: ovaladas, rectangulares, circulares, C, T, L o de cualquier sección transversal arbitraria, sólida o hueca, además, las que están hechas de tubos de acero circulares y rectangulares llenos de concreto en alta resistencia. En esta publicación se presenta la validez del método y los resultados obtenidos son comparados con otros, que han sido reportados por diferentes investigadores en la literatura técnica, con más de setenta muestras de columnas.

Palabras clave: arriostramiento, pandeo, columnas, fundación elástica, pilas, conexiones semirrígidas y estabilidad.

Received: April 4th 2014 Accepted: April 25th 2014

### Introduction

An analytical method that calculates both the short- and long-term response of slender columns made of high-strength concrete (HSC) and of tubes filled with concrete with generalized end conditions that are subjected to transverse loads along the span and to axial loads at the ends (causing single- or double-curvature under uniaxial or biaxial bending) is presented in a companion paper published by the authors in 2014.

The main objective of this paper is to verify the iterative analytical procedure and corresponding equations that were presented in the companion paper. The proposed model, which is capable of predicting not only the complete load-rotation and load-deflection curves (both the ascending and descending parts) but also the

maximum load capacity of slender concrete columns, is verified against test results of over seventy specimens of columns reported by several researchers in the technical literature. The columns analyzed include solid and hollow (rectangular, circular, oval, C-, T-, L-, or any arbitrary shape) cross sections and columns made of circular or rectangular steel tubes filled with HSC.

## **Verification of Proposed Model**

It is assumed that: I)  $f_c^{"}=f_c^{'}$  for all test specimens. This is particularly valid for tubular columns subjected to axial load with large eccentricities in which the effects of the confinement of the concrete provided by the steel tube are relatively low; and 2) for circular tubular columns, the concrete core is approximated by a

E-mail: jdaristi@unal.edu.co

How to cite: Rodríguez-Gutiérrez, J. A., & Aristizabal-Ochoa, J. D. (2014). Biaxial bending of slender HSC columns and tubes filled with concrete under short- and long-term loads: II) Verification. *Ingeniería e Investigación*, 34(3), 19-25.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Jose A. Rodríguez-Gutiérrez. Ingeniero Civil, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Medellín, Colombia. Affilliation: Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Medellín, Colombia. E-mail: alfredo 1704@gmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> J. Darío Aristizabal-Ochoa. Ingeniero Civil, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Medellín, Colombia. M. Sc. and Ph. D. University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, USA. Affilliation: Profesor Titular, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Medellín, Colombia.

polygon with 16 sides, and the cross-sectional area of the tube is estimated as a total of 20 rebars uniformly distributed around the perimeter of the circle.

Columns after Cederwall et al. 1990-. A series of 22 columns made of 4.72 in. (120-mm) square, steel tubes filled with concrete and with a height of 118.11 in. (3 m) were tested under shortterm loads. Column specimens made of concrete with a strength greater than or equal to 11,603 psi (80 MPa) were selected from this series to study their behavior using the proposed method. These eight specimens were subjected to end loads applied simultaneously to the steel tube and to the concrete core causing a single-curvature up to failure. Table I lists the thicknesses of the steel tubes, the applied end eccentricities, the yield strength of the steel tube, the compressive strength of the concrete, and the maximum experimental and theoretical axial load.

Table 1. Columns tested by Cederwall et al 1999

Specimen	T (mm)	e (mm)	f <sub>y</sub> (MPa)	f'c (MPa)	P <sub>max, Experimental</sub> (kN)	P <sub>max, Theoretical</sub> (Kn)
3	5	20	327	96	710	726
4	5	20	439	96	830	820
8	8	20	323	103	820	897
9	8	20	379	103	1000	970
a	8	20	376	93	1030	942
12 <sup>b</sup>	8	20	390	93	960	978
13	8	10	390	80	1160	1157
14	8	0	379	80	1610	1560

Excellent agreement between the calculated and the experimental maximum values of the axial load are shown when comparing the last two columns of Table 1. Fig. 1 also shows excellent agreement between the calculated and the experimental curves (loading and unloading load-deflection responses). It is important to note that the effects of the confinement of the concrete provided by the square steel tubes in the experimental results of all specimens subjected to an axial load with low eccentricity are rather insignificant.




Figure 1. Load-Deflection Curves for HSC Filled Columns after Cederwall et al 1990

Columns tested by Hsu et al 1995-. A series of 9 columns identified as L-columns made of high-strength concrete were tested under a short-term axial load and biaxial bending. The effects of concrete strength, axial load eccentricity, steel ratio and ratio eccentricities ( $\Theta$ = Tan-1(e<sub>y</sub>/e<sub>x</sub>)) were studied. All column

specimens had a span length of 48 in. (1.22 m). Table 2 presents the properties of all L-columns and their corresponding experimental and calculated axial load at failure.

Table 2. Columns tested by Hsu et al. 1995

Specimen	Main bars	f <sub>y</sub> (MPa)	ex (mm)	e <sub>y</sub> (mm)	f'c (MPa)	P <sub>Experimental</sub> (kN)	P <sub>Theoretical</sub> (kN)
LI	4 #2	434.4	23.47	9.73	76.95	107.1	100.4
L2	4 #2	434.4	17.96	17.96	68.95	100.1	92.I
L3	4 #3	531.3	17.96	17.96	73.91	130.9	125.47
L4	4 #3	531.3	53.87	53.87	78.4	41.9	47.38
L5	4 #3	531.3	43.99	25.4	71.02	62.4	68.76
L6	4 #3	531.3	43.99	25.4	75.85	68.7	70.33
L7	4 #3	531.3	65.99	38.1	76.05	50.7	47.65
L8	4 #3	531.3	17.96	17.96	69.09	125.9	121.16
L9	4 #3	420.6	53.87	53.87	71.71	40.97	41.85




Figure 2. Experimental-versus-Calculated Results of Columns tested by Hsu et al. 1995

The proposed model predicts with good accuracy both the axial load and the maximum lateral deflection at failure, as well as the load-deflection response as shown by Figs. 2 and 3. Fig. 3 shows good agreement between the calculated and experimental curves (for both loading and unloading) for the load-deflection responses of specimens L3 and L4.




Figure 3. Load-Deflection Curves of Columns L3 and L4 tested by Hsu et al. 1995

Columns tested by Lloyd and Rangan 1996-. A series of 36 columns with an effective height of 66.14 in. (1.68 m) were subjected to short-term axial load up to failure at the University of Curtin, Australia. The columns were simply supported and subjected to an eccentric axial load P causing equal moments (Pe) at both ends. The properties of the materials are as follows:

Concrete: Series I-IV: 8,410 psi (58 MPa); Series V-VIII: 13,340 psi (92 MPa); Series IX-XIII: 14,065 psi (97 MPa).

Reinforcements: The longitudinal reinforcement consisted of 12mm steel rebars with a yield strength  $f_y = 62$  ksi (430 MPa). The transverse reinforcement consisted of closed steel stirrups that were 4 mm in diameter with a yield strength  $f_y = 65$  ksi (450 MPa).

Details of the cross-sectional properties of the columns are shown in Fig. 4. End eccentricities, experimental and theoretical axial load and mid-span deflection at failure are all listed in Table 3. Figure 5 shows the full load-deflection responses. Figure 6 shows the correlations of the ratios between the experimental and the theoretical values for both the axial load and the mid-span deflection. Good agreements between the calculated and experimental results were obtained.




Figure 4. Cross Sections tested by Lloyd and Rangan 1996

Columns tested by Kilpatrick and Rangan 1999-. Forty-one circular tubular steel columns filled with concrete were tested under short-term loads. Eleven test specimens were subjected to double curvature, the rest (thirty specimens) to single-curvature. The test specimens were made of 0.094 in. (2.4 mm) thick steel tubes of 4.05 in. (0.1015 m) in diameter and 85.63 in. (2.175 m) in length. The average concrete strength was f' = 13,923 psi (96) MPa). The properties of the steel tube were: yield stress  $f_y =$ 59.465 ksi (410 MPa), ultimate strength f<sub>u</sub> = 68.893 ksi (475 MPa), and modulus of elasticity  $E_s = 29,733$  ksi (205,000 MPa).




Figure 5. Load-Deflection Curves of Columns tested by Lloyd and Rangan 1996

TABLE 3. Columns tested by LLoyd and Rangan 1996

Column	e₂ and e♭ (mm)	P <sub>Experimental</sub> (kN)	P <sub>Theoretical</sub> (kN)
SC-16	50, 50	157	163
SC-17+	50, 30	183	189
SC-18	50, 20	196	204
SC-19	50, 10	215	220
SC-20	50, 0	237	238
SC-21	50, -10	256	255
SC-22*	50, -20	266	273
SC-23*	50, -20	266	273
SC-24	40, 30	197	208
SC-25	40, 10	243	249
SC-26	40, 0	260	272
SC-27	40, -10	281	298
SC-28	40, -20	331	325
SC-29	30, 20	244	254
SC-30	30, 0	318	313
SC-31	30, -10	340	350
SC-32	30, -20	384	394
SC-33	20, 20	282	284
SC-34	20, 0	367	364
SC-35	20, -10	411	425
SC-36	40, -30	344	347
SC-37	40, -40	385	346.8
SC-38	0, 0	523	538.8
SC-39+	50, 30	303	189
SC-40	50, -50	344	286

Note: \* + twin columns




Figure 6. Experimental-versus-Calculated Results of Columns tested by Lloyd and Rangan 1996




Figure 7. Load-Deflection Curves of Columns SC-18, SC-23, SC-29 and SC-32 tested by Kilpatrick and Rangan 1999




Figure 8. Behavior of Column SC-40 tested by Kilpatrick and

In the theoretical analyses, the concrete core was approximated by a regular polygon with 16 sides, and the steel tube was assumed to be equivalent to 20 rebars around the concrete core. The test results from 25 specimens out of the 41 circular tubular steel columns were used in this study. Figure 7 shows correlations between the calculated results and the experimental load-deflection responses for several specimens. Figures 8(a)-(b) show the phenomenon that was observed by other researchers in the columns subjected initially to double-curvature (or axial compressive load with opposite eccentricities at the ends) of an instability or abrupt change in the deflected shape to a more stable single-curvature shape. The anti-symmetric deformed shape (double-curvature) of the column is maintained only up to a certain value of the applied eccentric axial load. However, the proposed model does not capture the phenomenon of instability in the deflected shape, because it assumes a perfectly anti-symmetric moment diagram and consequently a double-curvature deformed shape at all load levels. To capture this phenomenon, the numerical process must be capable of predicting any change in the deflected shape of the column (i.e., it must be controlled by deflection rather than by load).

TABLE 4. Columns tested by Kilpatrick and Rangan 1999

Column         e (mm)         Experimental (k(k))         Pmax (h(k))         Experimental (k(k))         Experimental (k(k))         Afailure Experimental (k(k))         Afailure Theoretical (k(k))         Afailure Experimental (k(k))         Afailure Theoretical (k(k						
IA	Column					
IB	Column	(mm)				
IC 65 660 658,8 13,2 11  IIA 10 1192 1080 10.2 9  IIB 30 436 461 23.1 19  IIC 40 342 348 23 19.4  IIIA 15 1140 1390 8.8 4.6  IIIB 50 723 743 12.9 9.3  IIIC 65 511 579 11.7 11.2  IVA 10 915 1040 12.3 9  IVB 30 425 402 18.6 18.3  IVC 40 262 281 21.8 18  VA 15 1704 2106 6.2 5.4  VB 50 1018 1120 9.7 10.4  VC 65 795 867 12.3 11.7  VIA 10 1189 1520 16.1 11  VIB 30 471 573 23.6 19.7  VIC 40 422 397 22.2 18.8  VIIA 15 1745 2040 7.6 5.4  VIV 50 908 1033 11.1 10.8  VIIC 65 663 731.9 15.4 11.9  VIIIA 10 1043 1480 13.4 11  VIIIB 30 369 482 20.4 18  VIIIC 40 312 313 21.5 17.9  IXA* 15 1975 2132 6.4 5.5  IXB* 50 102 1072.5 10.9 11.1  IXC* 65 746 748 14.2 11.9  XA+ 10 1610 1530 13.3 11.1  XB+ 30 436 490 20.5 18.3  XC+ 40 333 316 20.2 17.5  XIA* 15 1932 2132 5.6 5.5  XIB* 50 970 1072.5 10.7 11.1	IA	15	1476	1456	8.3	4.5
IIA	IB	50	830	813	12.5	9
IIB   30    436    461    23.1    19      IIC	IC	65	660	658,8	13,2	11
IIC   40   342   348   23   19.4	IIA	10	1192	1080	10.2	9
IIIA	IIB	30	436	461	23.1	19
IIIB	IIC	40	342	348	23	19.4
IIIC	IIIA	15	1140	1390	8.8	4.6
IVA         10         915         1040         12.3         9           IVB         30         425         402         18.6         18.3           IVC         40         262         281         21.8         18           VA         15         1704         2106         6.2         5.4           VB         50         1018         1120         9.7         10.4           VC         65         795         867         12.3         11.7           VIA         10         1189         1520         16.1         11           VIB         30         471         573         23.6         19.7           VIC         40         422         397         22.2         18.8           VIIA         15         1745         2040         7.6         5.4           VIV         50         908         1033         11.1         10.8           VIIC         65         663         731.9         15.4         11.9           VIIIA         10         1043         1480         13.4         11           VIIIB         30         369         482         20.4         18	IIIB	50	723	743	12.9	9.3
IVB         30         425         402         18.6         18.3           IVC         40         262         281         21.8         18           VA         15         1704         2106         6.2         5.4           VB         50         1018         1120         9.7         10.4           VC         65         795         867         12.3         11.7           VIA         10         1189         1520         16.1         11           VIB         30         471         573         23.6         19.7           VIC         40         422         397         22.2         18.8           VIIA         15         1745         2040         7.6         5.4           VIV         50         908         1033         11.1         10.8           VIIC         65         663         731.9         15.4         11.9           VIIIA         10         1043         1480         13.4         11           VIIIB         30         369         482         20.4         18           VIIIC         40         312         313         21.5         17.9     <	IIIC	65	511	579	11.7	11.2
IVC         40         262         281         21.8         18           VA         15         1704         2106         6.2         5.4           VB         50         1018         1120         9.7         10.4           VC         65         795         867         12.3         11.7           VIA         10         1189         1520         16.1         11           VIB         30         471         573         23.6         19.7           VIC         40         422         397         22.2         18.8           VIIA         15         1745         2040         7.6         5.4           VIV         50         908         1033         11.1         10.8           VIIC         65         663         731.9         15.4         11.9           VIIIA         10         1043         1480         13.4         11           VIIIB         30         369         482         20.4         18           VIIIC         40         312         313         21.5         17.9           IXA*         15         1975         2132         6.4         5.5	IVA	10	915	1040	12.3	9
VA         15         1704         2106         6.2         5.4           VB         50         1018         1120         9.7         10.4           VC         65         795         867         12.3         11.7           VIA         10         1189         1520         16.1         11           VIB         30         471         573         23.6         19.7           VIC         40         422         397         22.2         18.8           VIIA         15         1745         2040         7.6         5.4           VIV         50         908         1033         11.1         10.8           VIIC         65         663         731.9         15.4         11.9           VIIIA         10         1043         1480         13.4         11           VIIIB         30         369         482         20.4         18           VIIIC         40         312         313         21.5         17.9           IXA*         15         1975         2132         6.4         5.5           IXB*         50         1002         1072.5         10.9         11.1 <td>IVB</td> <td>30</td> <td>425</td> <td>402</td> <td>18.6</td> <td>18.3</td>	IVB	30	425	402	18.6	18.3
VB         50         1018         1120         9.7         10.4           VC         65         795         867         12.3         11.7           VIA         10         1189         1520         16.1         11           VIB         30         471         573         23.6         19.7           VIC         40         422         397         22.2         18.8           VIIA         15         1745         2040         7.6         5.4           VIV         50         908         1033         11.1         10.8           VIIC         65         663         731.9         15.4         11.9           VIIIA         10         1043         1480         13.4         11           VIIIB         30         369         482         20.4         18           VIIIC         40         312         313         21.5         17.9           IXA*         15         1975         2132         6.4         5.5           IXB*         50         1002         1072.5         10.9         11.1           IXC*         65         746         748         14.2         11.9<	IVC	40	262	281	21.8	18
VC         65         795         867         12.3         11.7           VIA         10         1189         1520         16.1         11           VIB         30         471         573         23.6         19.7           VIC         40         422         397         22.2         18.8           VIIA         15         1745         2040         7.6         5.4           VIV         50         908         1033         11.1         10.8           VIIC         65         663         731.9         15.4         11.9           VIIIA         10         1043         1480         13.4         11           VIIIB         30         369         482         20.4         18           VIIIC         40         312         313         21.5         17.9           IXA*         15         1975         2132         6.4         5.5           IXB*         50         1002         1072.5         10.9         11.1           IXC*         65         746         748         14.2         11.9           XA*         10         1610         1530         13.3         11.	VA	15	1704	2106	6.2	5.4
VIA         10         1189         1520         16.1         11           VIB         30         471         573         23.6         19.7           VIC         40         422         397         22.2         18.8           VIIA         15         1745         2040         7.6         5.4           VIV         50         908         1033         11.1         10.8           VIIC         65         663         731.9         15.4         11.9           VIIIA         10         1043         1480         13.4         11           VIIIB         30         369         482         20.4         18           VIIIC         40         312         313         21.5         17.9           IXA*         15         1975         2132         6.4         5.5           IXB*         50         1002         1072.5         10.9         11.1           IXC*         65         746         748         14.2         11.9           XA*         10         1610         1530         13.3         11.1           XB*         30         436         490         20.5         18	VB	50	1018	1120	9.7	10.4
VIB         30         471         573         23.6         19.7           VIC         40         422         397         22.2         18.8           VIIA         15         1745         2040         7.6         5.4           VIV         50         908         1033         11.1         10.8           VIIC         65         663         731.9         15.4         11.9           VIIIA         10         1043         1480         13.4         11           VIIIB         30         369         482         20.4         18           VIIIC         40         312         313         21.5         17.9           IXA*         15         1975         2132         6.4         5.5           IXB*         50         1002         1072.5         10.9         11.1           IXC*         65         746         748         14.2         11.9           XA+         10         1610         1530         13.3         11.1           XB+         30         436         490         20.5         18.3           XC+         40         333         316         20.2         17	VC	65	795	867	12.3	11.7
VIC         40         422         397         22.2         18.8           VIIA         15         1745         2040         7.6         5.4           VIV         50         908         1033         11.1         10.8           VIIC         65         663         731.9         15.4         11.9           VIIIA         10         1043         1480         13.4         11           VIIIB         30         369         482         20.4         18           VIIIC         40         312         313         21.5         17.9           IXA*         15         1975         2132         6.4         5.5           IXB*         50         1002         1072.5         10.9         11.1           IXC*         65         746         748         14.2         11.9           XA+         10         1610         1530         13.3         11.1           XB+         30         436         490         20.5         18.3           XC+         40         333         316         20.2         17.5           XIA*         15         1932         2132         5.6	VIA	10	1189	1520	16.1	11
VIIA         15         1745         2040         7.6         5.4           VIV         50         908         1033         11.1         10.8           VIIC         65         663         731.9         15.4         11.9           VIIIA         10         1043         1480         13.4         11           VIIIB         30         369         482         20.4         18           VIIIC         40         312         313         21.5         17.9           IXA*         15         1975         2132         6.4         5.5           IXB*         50         1002         1072.5         10.9         11.1           IXC*         65         746         748         14.2         11.9           XA*         10         1610         1530         13.3         11.1           XB*         30         436         490         20.5         18.3           XC*         40         333         316         20.2         17.5           XIA*         15         1932         2132         5.6         5.5           XIB*         50         970         1072.5         10.7         <	VIB	30	471	573	23.6	19.7
VIV         50         908         1033         11.1         10.8           VIIC         65         663         731.9         15.4         11.9           VIIIA         10         1043         1480         13.4         11           VIIIB         30         369         482         20.4         18           VIIIC         40         312         313         21.5         17.9           IXA*         15         1975         2132         6.4         5.5           IXB*         50         1002         1072.5         10.9         11.1           IXC*         65         746         748         14.2         11.9           XA+         10         1610         1530         13.3         11.1           XB+         30         436         490         20.5         18.3           XC+         40         333         316         20.2         17.5           XIA*         15         1932         2132         5.6         5.5           XIB*         50         970         1072.5         10.7         11.1	VIC	40	422	397	22.2	18.8
VIIC         65         663         731.9         15.4         11.9           VIIIA         10         1043         1480         13.4         11           VIIIB         30         369         482         20.4         18           VIIIC         40         312         313         21.5         17.9           IXA*         15         1975         2132         6.4         5.5           IXB*         50         1002         1072.5         10.9         11.1           IXC*         65         746         748         14.2         11.9           XA+         10         1610         1530         13.3         11.1           XB+         30         436         490         20.5         18.3           XC+         40         333         316         20.2         17.5           XIA*         15         1932         2132         5.6         5.5           XIB*         50         970         1072.5         10.7         11.1	VIIA	15	1745	2040	7.6	5.4
VIIIA         10         1043         1480         13.4         11           VIIIB         30         369         482         20.4         18           VIIIC         40         312         313         21.5         17.9           IXA*         15         1975         2132         6.4         5.5           IXB*         50         1002         1072.5         10.9         11.1           IXC*         65         746         748         14.2         11.9           XA+         10         1610         1530         13.3         11.1           XB+         30         436         490         20.5         18.3           XC+         40         333         316         20.2         17.5           XIA*         15         1932         2132         5.6         5.5           XIB*         50         970         1072.5         10.7         11.1	VIV	50	908	1033	11.1	10.8
VIIIB         30         369         482         20.4         18           VIIIC         40         312         313         21.5         17.9           IXA*         15         1975         2132         6.4         5.5           IXB*         50         1002         1072.5         10.9         11.1           IXC*         65         746         748         14.2         11.9           XA+         10         1610         1530         13.3         11.1           XB+         30         436         490         20.5         18.3           XC+         40         333         316         20.2         17.5           XIA*         15         1932         2132         5.6         5.5           XIB*         50         970         1072.5         10.7         11.1	VIIC	65	663	731.9	15.4	11.9
VIIIC         40         312         313         21.5         17.9           IXA*         15         1975         2132         6.4         5.5           IXB*         50         1002         1072.5         10.9         11.1           IXC*         65         746         748         14.2         11.9           XA*         10         1610         1530         13.3         11.1           XB*         30         436         490         20.5         18.3           XC*         40         333         316         20.2         17.5           XIA*         15         1932         2132         5.6         5.5           XIB*         50         970         1072.5         10.7         11.1	VIIIA	10	1043	1480	13.4	11
IXA*         15         1975         2132         6.4         5.5           IXB*         50         1002         1072.5         10.9         11.1           IXC*         65         746         748         14.2         11.9           XA*         10         1610         1530         13.3         11.1           XB*         30         436         490         20.5         18.3           XC*         40         333         316         20.2         17.5           XIA*         15         1932         2132         5.6         5.5           XIB*         50         970         1072.5         10.7         11.1	VIIIB	30	369	482	20.4	18
IXB*         50         1002         1072.5         10.9         11.1           IXC*         65         746         748         14.2         11.9           XA*         10         1610         1530         13.3         11.1           XB*         30         436         490         20.5         18.3           XC*         40         333         316         20.2         17.5           XIA*         15         1932         2132         5.6         5.5           XIB*         50         970         1072.5         10.7         11.1	VIIIC	40	312	313	21.5	17.9
IXC*       65       746       748       14.2       11.9         XA*       10       1610       1530       13.3       11.1         XB*       30       436       490       20.5       18.3         XC*       40       333       316       20.2       17.5         XIA*       15       1932       2132       5.6       5.5         XIB*       50       970       1072.5       10.7       11.1	IXA*	15	1975	2132	6.4	5.5
XA+       10       1610       1530       13.3       11.1         XB+       30       436       490       20.5       18.3         XC+       40       333       316       20.2       17.5         XIA*       15       1932       2132       5.6       5.5         XIB*       50       970       1072.5       10.7       11.1	IXB*	50	1002	1072.5	10.9	11.1
XB+     30     436     490     20.5     18.3       XC+     40     333     316     20.2     17.5       XIA*     15     1932     2132     5.6     5.5       XIB*     50     970     1072.5     10.7     11.1	IXC*	65	746	748	14.2	11.9
XC+     40     333     316     20.2     17.5       XIA*     15     1932     2132     5.6     5.5       XIB*     50     970     1072.5     10.7     11.1	XA+	10	1610	1530	13.3	11.1
XIA* 15 1932 2132 5.6 5.5 XIB* 50 970 1072.5 10.7 11.1	XB+	30	436	490	20.5	18.3
XIB* 50 970 1072.5 10.7 11.1	XC+	40	333	316	20.2	17.5
	XIA*	15	1932	2132	5.6	5.5
XIC* 65 747 748 13.9 11.9	XIB*	50	970	1072.5	10.7	11.1
	XIC*	65	747	748	13.9	11.9
XIIA+ 10 1650 1530 13.2 11.1	XIIA+	10	1650	1530	13.2	11.1
XIIB+ 30 509 490 21.3 18.3	XIIB+	30	509	490	21.3	18.3
XIIC+ 40 314 316 20.6 17.5	XIIC+	40	314	316	20.6	17.5

Table 4 shows the applied end eccentricities ea and eb, and the experimental and theoretical axial load values at failure, and Fig. 9 shows the correlation between these axial load values.




Figure 9. Experimental-versus-Calculated Results of Columns tested by Kilpatrick and Rangan 1999

Columns tested by Claeson and Gylltoft 1998, 2000-. Slender columns made of HSC and normal strength concrete (NSC) subjected to long-term loadings (i.e., sustained loads) were tested. All column specimens had a span length of 4 m. To take into account the long-term effects of creep and shrinkage in the concrete, the creep coefficient proposed by Han [6],  $\chi$  = 1 and the expression:  $\varepsilon_{sh}(t) = 0.004t/(t + 35)$  (ACI 209 Committee) for shrinkage strain in the concrete were utilized in the proposed method. Because all columns were tested horizontally, the analysis also included the transverse deflections caused by the weight of the columns. Figure 10 shows the calculated (theoretical) M-P- $\phi$  curves used in the analysis. Fig. 11 shows good agreement between the calculated and experimental curves.




Figure 10. Calculated Time Dependent M-P-φ Curves for columns tested by Claeson and Gylltoft 1998, 2000




Figure 11. Variation with Time of Mid-Span Deflection of Columns tested by Claeson and Gylltoft 1998, 2000




Figure 12. Load-End Rotation curves of Columns tested by Varma 2002

Columns tested by Varma et al 2002-. A series of columns made of square 305-mm steel tubes of 8.9 and 5.8 mm in thickness filled with high strength concrete  $f_c = 15,954$  psi (110 MPa) and with a height of 59.84 in. (1.52 m) were tested. The column specimens were made of four types of steel, as indicated in Table 5. All column specimens were tested under short-term loads with an increasing bending moment up to failure and at a constant axial load P. Figure 12 shows the predicted end rotations at various axial load levels. To obtain the rotation along the descending part of the end moment-rotation curve for a given value of P, the ascending value of the rotation was multiplied by  $L/\pi$ . Figure 13 shows good agreement between the experimental and the theoretical values of the moments at failure.




Figure 13. Experimental-versus-Calculated Results of Columns tested by Varma 2002

TABLE 5. Stress-strain values for the steel of a square tube tested by Varma et al 2002

Steel Type I		Steel Type 2		Steel Type 3		Steel Type 4	
$\mathbf{\epsilon}_{\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}}$	$f_{s_i} \\ \text{(MPa)}$	$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{s_i}$	$f_{s_i} \\ \text{(MPa)}$	$\mathbf{\epsilon}_{\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}}$	$f_{s_i}$ (MPa)	$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{s_i}$	$f_{s_i} \\ \text{(MPa)}$
0.0013	269	0.0023	471	0.0013	269	0.0023	471
0.00476	269	0.026	471	0.00476	269	0.026	471
0.04000	380	0.111	556	0.04000	380	0.111	556
0.22000	433	0.169	556	0.22000	433	0.169	556

### **Conclusions**

The validity of the proposed method and numerical algorithm was tested against experimental results reported by different researchers of over seventy column specimens. The proposed method can be used to analyze any prismatic slender beam-column, including those made of a solid or hollow (rectangular, circular, oval, C-, T-, L-, or any arbitrary shape) cross section and of beam-columns made of circular and rectangular steel tubes filled with HSC.

## Acknowledgements

This paper is based on research sponsored by DIME and the Civil Engineering School of the National University of Colombia at Medellín. The authors appreciate their support.

### References

Cederwall, K., Engstrom, B., and Grauers, M. (1990). High-Strength Concrete used in composite columns. ACI, (SP-121), 195-214. Claeson, C., & Gylltoft, K. (2000). Slender Concrete Column Subjected to Sustained and Short-Term Eccentric Loading. ACI structural J., 97(1), 45-52.

Hsu, C-T. T., Hsu, L. S. M., & Tsao, W-H. (1995). Biaxially Loaded Slender High-Strength Reinforced Concrete with and without Steel Fibres. Magazine of concrete Research, 47(173), 299-310. Kilpatrick, A. E., & Rangan, B. V. (1999). Test on High-Strength Concrete-Filled Steel Tubular Columns. ACI structural J., 96(2), 268-274.

- Lloyd, N. A., & Rangan, B. V. (1996). Studies on High Strength Concrete Columns under Eccentric Compression. ACI Structural J., 93(6), 631-638.
- Rodriguez-Gutierrez, J. A., & Aristizabal-Ochoa, J. D. (2014). Biaxial bending of slender HSC columns and tubes filled with concrete
- under short and long term loads: I) Theory. Ingeniería e Investigación, 34(2), 23-28.
- Varma, A. H., Ricles, J. M., Sause, R., & Lu, L. (2002). Experimental Behavior of High Strength Square Concrete-Filled Steel Tube Beam-Columns. J. Struct. Engrg., 128(3), 309-318.