



Acta Ortopédica Brasileira

ISSN: 1413-7852

1atha@uol.com.br

Sociedade Brasileira de Ortopedia e
Traumatologia
Brasil

Silva e Farias, Ilky Pollansky; de Macedo Bernardino, Ítalo; Marques da Nóbrega, Lorena;
Grotta Gempel, Rafael; D'Avila, Sérgio

MAXILLOFACIAL TRAUMA, ETIOLOGY AND PROFILE OF PATIENTS: AN
EXPLORATORY STUDY

Acta Ortopédica Brasileira, vol. 25, núm. 6, 2017, pp. 258-261

Sociedade Brasileira de Ortopedia e Traumatologia
São Paulo, Brasil

Available in: <http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=65752469004>

- How to cite
- Complete issue
- More information about this article
- Journal's homepage in redalyc.org

redalyc.org

Scientific Information System

Network of Scientific Journals from Latin America, the Caribbean, Spain and Portugal

Non-profit academic project, developed under the open access initiative

MAXILLOFACIAL TRAUMA, ETIOLOGY AND PROFILE OF PATIENTS: AN EXPLORATORY STUDY

TRAUMAS MAXILOFACIAIS, ETIOLOGIA E PERFIL DOS PACIENTES: UM ESTUDO EXPLORATÓRIO

ILKY POLLANSKY SILVA E FARIAS¹, ÍTALO DE MACEDO BERNARDINO², LORENA MARQUES DA NÓBREGA³,
RAFAEL GROTTA GREMPER⁴, SÉRGIO D'ÁVILA³

1. Program in Maxillofacial Surgery and Traumatology, Hospital Regional do Agreste, Caruaru, PE, Brazil.

2. Department of Dentistry, Universidade Estadual da Paraíba, Campina Grande, PB, Brazil.

3. Post-graduate Program in Dentistry, Universidade Estadual da Paraíba, Campina Grande, PB, Brazil.

4. Strategic Technology Center, Universidade Estadual da Paraíba, Campina Grande, PB, Brazil.

ABSTRACT

Objective: To describe the profile of patients with facial trauma admitted in a hospital located in a metropolitan area of Northeast Brazil. **Methods:** A cross-sectional and exploratory study was performed. A total of 244 cases were in agreement with the eligibility criteria. The variables include the sociodemographic characteristics of patients, etiology, type of trauma, treatment modalities, length of stay in a hospital and quarter of care. Descriptive statistics and Cluster Analysis were performed. **Results:** The average age of patients was 31.16 years (SD = 15.17 years) and average hospitalization was 6.32 days (SD = 7.75 days). It was verified the automatic formation of four clusters with different profiles of patients. The variables which most contributed to the external differentiation between clusters were: length of stay in a hospital ($p < 0.001$), etiology ($p < 0.001$), type of facial trauma ($p < 0.001$), presence of associated trauma ($p < 0.001$), treatment modalities ($p < 0.001$) and quarter of care ($p < 0.001$). **Conclusion:** The most of patients were men, victims of traffic accidents, which suffered fracture of zygomatic complex and underwent surgery. **Level of Evidence III, Retrospective Study.**

Keywords: Facial injuries. Facial bones. Traumatology.

RESUMO

Objetivo: Traçar o perfil dos pacientes internados com trauma de face em um hospital localizado em região metropolitana do Nordeste do Brasil. **Métodos:** Tratou-se de estudo transversal e exploratório. Um total de 244 casos atendeu aos critérios de elegibilidade, sendo incluídos na amostra. As variáveis estudadas incluíram características sociodemográficas das vítimas, etiologia, tipos de traumas, modalidades de tratamento, tempo de internação e trimestre de atendimento. Foi feita estatística descritiva e análise de cluster. **Resultados:** A média de idade dos pacientes foi 31,16 anos (DP = 15,17 anos) e o tempo médio de internação foi de 6,32 dias (DP = 7,75 dias). Verificou-se a formação automática de quatro clusters com perfis distintos de pacientes. As variáveis que mais contribuíram para a diferenciação externa entre os clusters foram: tempo de internação ($p < 0,001$), etiologia ($p < 0,001$), tipo do trauma facial ($p < 0,001$), presença de trauma associado ($p < 0,001$), tipo de tratamento ($p < 0,001$) e trimestre de atendimento ($p < 0,001$). **Conclusão:** A maioria dos pacientes eram homens, vítimas de acidentes de trânsito, que apresentaram fratura do complexo zigomático, submetidos a tratamento cirúrgico. **Nível de Evidência III, Estudo Retrospectivo.**

Descritores: Traumatismos faciais. Ossos faciais. Traumatologia.

Citation: Farias IPS, Bernardino IM, Nóbrega LM, Gremper RG, D'Ávila S. Maxillofacial trauma, etiology and profile of patients: an exploratory study. *Acta Ortop Bras.* [online]. 2017;25(6):258-61. Available from URL: <http://www.scielo.br/aob>.

INTRODUCTION

Trauma from external causes represents one of the greatest challenges for public health services in different regions of the world.¹⁻³ In Brazil, thousands of people are daily victims of interpersonal violence and are involved in traffic accidents, overloading health services and generating high emotional and social costs. Trauma in head, neck and face is one of the most prevalent and among the etiological agents of facial trauma, traffic accidents, falls, aggressions and penetrating

wounds (caused by firearms) stand out, with sociodemographic, cultural and environmental factors playing an important role in the epidemiology of these outcomes.⁴⁻⁶

Depending on severity, the treatment of trauma patients requires multidisciplinary and integrated care. In addition, facial trauma may be accompanied by other types of serious injury, which may result in emotional and psychological problems requiring lifelong follow-up.⁷⁻⁹ Epidemiological studies are necessary for a better understanding

All authors declare no potential conflict of interest related to this article.

Work conducted at the Hospital of Emergency and Trauma Dom Luiz Gonzaga Fernandes, Campina Grande, PB, Brazil.

Correspondence: Prof. Sérgio d'Ávila. Departamento de Odontologia, Universidade Estadual da Paraíba, Rua Baraúnas, 351. Bairro Universitário, Campina Grande, PB, Brasil. 58429-500. davila2407@hotmail.com

Article received in 07/30/2015, approved in 06/12/2017.

of the distribution patterns of lesions, etiological factors, and for providing valuable information for the planning of health actions. Understanding the patterns of facial injuries and the victims' profile may also help managers to refocus and improve the services offered. In this context, this study had the aim of determining the profile of hospitalized patients with facial trauma and describing the characteristics of lesions in an emergency and trauma hospital in a medium-sized city in northeastern Brazil.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This was a cross-sectional and exploratory study carried out in a reference hospital in emergency and trauma care located in the city of Campina Grande, Paraíba, Brazil, during the period from January to December 2011. The municipality, which has population estimated at 386,000 inhabitants, is an industrialized city in the northeastern region of Brazil. It is located in a metropolitan region that includes 22 other municipalities, and has *per capita* income of approximately US\$ 110 and Human Development Index (HDI) of 0.72. A total of 11,410 medical records regarding general hospital care were evaluated. To compose the sample, cases of people who presented facial trauma and who were treated with need for hospitalization were included. Exclusion criteria were: records that were considered incomplete (lacking three or more information), illegible (even when, after consultation with physician or maxillo-facial surgeon, the information in the medical record was not yet deciphered), resulting in 244 cases.

The variables studied were: age (in years), gender (female / male), type of etiological agent of the face trauma¹⁰ (traffic accident, interpersonal violence, falls, others such as work accident and accident during the practice of sports), type of facial trauma^{11,12} (soft tissue injury - laceration, bruising, hematoma; mandible fracture, maxilla fracture, zygomatic complex fracture, nasal fracture, nasal-orbital-ethmoidal fracture, frontal fracture, fracture in more than one facial bone), presence of associated trauma in other regions of the body (yes / no), type of treatment (surgical / non-surgical), quarter of care (first / second / third / fourth) and length of hospital stay (in days). Initially, descriptive statistical analysis was performed, which corresponded to the calculation of the absolute and relative frequencies of categorical variables and to the calculation of the central tendency (mean and median) and dispersion measures (standard deviation, minimum value, maximum value and interquartile range) of continuous variables. Subsequently, Cluster Analysis was used to describe the victims' profile. This is a multivariate, exploratory statistical analysis designed to allocate individuals with characteristics similar to each other in the same group (cluster), in order to identify profiles or trends that could go unnoticed if other techniques were used.¹³ The method chosen was the TwoStep Cluster. One of the advantages of this method is the possibility of manipulating categorical and continuous variables simultaneously and the automatic identification of the number of empirical clusters based on the Bayesian and Akaike information criteria, which are used in a joint and comparative way to indicate the empirically optimal solution.¹⁴ For the conformation of the clusters, variables that were able to define clusters capable of better guiding the implementation of prevention, management, assistance and rehabilitation strategies were used. Thus, variables related to the sociodemographic characteristics of patients, to the etiological agents of traumas, the nature of lesions, treatment and evolution were chosen. For the application of the method, the criterion of choice for the selection of the number of clusters was the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) and the distance measure used was the Log-likelihood. It is known that the denomination of clusters is a subjective process, but it was tried to standardize the description of clusters in such a way that

they represented the most remarkable findings in data and could guide the reader in the understanding of the main characteristics demarcated by empirically obtained clusters. In order to identify the variables that most contributed to the external differentiation of clusters, the analysis of the difference of proportions (Pearson's Chi-square or Fisher's Exact Test) and the F-test (ANOVA) was used. The confidence interval considered was 95%. The organization of the database and all statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS software version 20.

This study was submitted to and approved by the Ethics Research Committee on Human Beings of the State University of Paraíba (CAAE protocol No. 33813.4.0000.5187) and followed the National and International Standards of Ethics in Research with Human Beings.

RESULTS

The mean age of victims was 31.16 years (SD = 15.17 years, minimum value: 1 year, maximum value: 78 years) and median of 27 years. The mean length of hospital stay was 6.32 days (SD = 7.75 days, minimum value: 1 day, maximum value: 28 days) and median 5 days. Table 1 presents the absolute and relative frequencies of variables related to the sociodemographic characteristics of patients, etiology and characteristics of traumas, type of treatment and quarter of care. The majority of patients were male (n = 224; 91.8%), and the male/female proportion was 11.2: 1. The main etiological agent of facial trauma corresponded to traffic accidents (n = 55; 63.5%) and the most frequent type of facial trauma was zygomatic complex fracture (n = 71; 29.1%) followed by situations of fracture in more than one facial bone (n = 49; 20.1%). In addition, it was observed that the presence of associated trauma in other regions of the body occurred in 16.4% of cases (n = 40), the type of treatment most adopted was surgical (n = 220; 90.2%), in the fourth quarter (n = 109; 44.7%), followed by the third quarter (n = 77; 31.6%).

Figure 1 shows the absolute distribution of clusters. The number of patients allocated to clusters 1, 2, 3 and 4 were, respectively, 22, 86, 67 and 69. Table 2 shows the distribution of clusters according to patient's age, length of hospital stay, gender, etiology of facial

Table 1. Absolute and relative frequencies of variables related to the sociodemographic characteristics of patients, etiology and characteristics of traumas, type of treatment and quarter of care.

Variables	n	%
Gender		
Female	20	8.2
Male	224	91.8
Etiology		
Traffic accident	155	63.5
Interpersonal violence	32	13.1
Falls	19	7.8
Others	38	15.6
Facial trauma		
Soft tissue injury	26	10.7
Mandible fracture	39	16.0
Maxilla fracture	15	6.1
Zygomatic complex fracture	71	29.1
Nasal fracture	44	18.0
Fracture in more than one facial bone	49	20.1
Presence of associated trauma		
Yes	40	16.4
No	204	83.6
Type of treatment		
Surgical	220	90.2
Non-surgical	24	9.8
Quarter of care		
First	23	9.4
Second	35	14.3
Third	77	31.6
Fourth	109	44.7

trauma, type of facial trauma, presence of associated trauma in another region of the body, type of treatment and quarter of care. The variables selected for conformation of clusters that most contributed to the external differentiation among clusters were: length of hospital stay ($p < 0.001$), etiology ($p < 0.001$), type of facial trauma ($p < 0.001$), associated trauma in another region of the body ($p < 0.001$), type of treatment adopted ($p < 0.001$) and quarter of care ($p < 0.001$). The automatic formation of four clusters with different profiles of patients was verified.

Cluster 1 consisted essentially of patients with mean age of 31.17 years ($SD = 17.47$, minimum value = 1, maximum value = 68) and median of 28.5 years ($IIQ = 18.8$), males ($n = 20$; 90.9%), traffic accident victims ($n = 16$; 72.7%), who presented facial trauma characterized by soft tissue injury ($n = 17$; 77.3%), associated trauma in other regions of the body ($n = 14$; 63.6%), treated in the third quarter ($n = 9$; 40.9%) and submitted to non-surgical treatment ($n = 21$, 95.5%), with mean length of hospital stay of 1.73 days ($SD = 2.14$; minimum value = 1; maximum value = 10 and median 1 day ($IIQ = 2$).

Cluster 2 consisted essentially of patients with mean age of 33.23 years ($SD = 17.15$, minimum value = 2, maximum value = 78) and median age of 31.5 years ($IIQ = 23.0$), males ($n = 76$; 88.4%),

victims of interpersonal violence ($n = 32$; 37.2%) or other external causes ($n = 31$; 36.0%), who presented with nasal fracture ($n = 29$; 33.7%), had no associated trauma in other regions of the body ($n = 83$; 96.5%), were treated in the third quarter ($n = 37$; 43.0%) and submitted to surgical treatment ($n = 83$; 96.5%), with mean length of hospital stay of 4.35 days ($SD = 3.91$, minimum value = 1, maximum value = 18) and median three days ($IIQ = 3$).

Cluster 3 consisted essentially of patients with mean age of 28.0 years ($SD = 12.13$, minimum value = 12, maximum value = 69) and a median of 24 years ($IIQ = 15.0$), males ($n = 67$; 100.0%), traffic accident victims ($n = 65$; 97.0%), with zygomatic complex fracture ($n = 30$; 44.8%), no associated trauma in other regions of the body ($n = 48$; 71.6%), treated in the third quarter ($n = 31$; 46.3%) and submitted to surgical treatment ($n = 67$; 100.0%), with mean length of hospital stay of 11.43 days ($SD = 7.24$, minimum value = 1, maximum value = 28) and median of nine days ($IIQ = 12$).

Cluster 4 consisted essentially of patients with mean age of 31.67 ($SD = 14.22$, minimum value = 8, maximum value = 77), and median of 28 years ($IIQ = 15.5$), males ($n = 61$; 88.4%), with fracture in more than one facial bone ($n = 21$; 30.4%), no associated trauma in other regions of the body ($n = 65$; 94.2%), treated in the fourth quarter ($n = 64$; 92.8%), submitted to surgical treatment ($n = 69$; 100.0%), with mean length of hospital stay of 5.28 days ($SD = 2.85$, minimum value = 1, maximum value = 14) and median of five days ($IIQ = 4$).




Figure 1. Absolute distribution of clusters.

DISCUSSION

The high prevalence of facial trauma in males found in this study corroborates the results found by other authors,^{5,15} and this fact is probably attributed to the greater involvement of men in outdoor activities and their greater exposure to violent interactions. However, it is noteworthy that, due to the greater involvement of women in physical activity, high number of female drivers, as well as the increase in violence associated with greater participation of women in extra-community activities, together, contributes to their exposure to risk factors similar to those of men.¹⁶

Table 2. Distribution of clusters according to patient's age, length of hospital stay, gender, etiology of facial trauma, type of facial trauma, presence of associated trauma in another region of the body, type of treatment and quarter of care.

Variables	1 (n = 22)	2 (n = 86)	3 (n = 67)	4 (n = 69)	Total	p-value
Mean age (standard deviation)	31.17 (± 17.47)	33.23 (± 17.15)	28.0 (± 12.13)	31.67 (± 14.22)	31.16 (15.17)	0.200
Mean length of hospital stay (standard deviation)	1.73 (± 2.14)	4.35 (± 3.91)	11.43 (± 7.24)	5.28 (± 2.85)	6.32 (5.75)	<0.001
Gender						0.008
Female	2 (9.1)	10 (11.6)	0 (0.0)	8 (11.6)	20 (8.2)	
Male	20 (90.9)	76 (88.4)	67 (100.0)	61 (88.4)	224 (91.8)	
Etiology						<0.001
Traffic accident	16 (72.7)	6 (7.0)	65 (97.0)	68 (98.6)	155 (63.5)	
Intepersonal violence	0 (0.0)	32 (37.2)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	32 (13.1)	
Falls	0 (0.0)	17 (19.8)	1 (1.5)	1 (1.4)	19 (7.8)	
Others	6 (27.3)	31 (36.0)	1 (1.5)	0 (0.0)	38 (15.6)	
Facial trauma						<0.001
Soft tissue injury	17 (77.3)	9 (10.5)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	26 (10.7)	
Mandible fracture	0 (0.0)	15 (17.4)	14 (20.9)	10 (14.5)	39 (16.0)	
Maxilla fracture	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	8 (11.9)	7 (10.1)	15 (6.1)	
Zygomatic complex fracture	3 (13.6)	21 (24.4)	30 (44.8)	17 (24.6)	71 (29.1)	
Nasal fracture	1 (4.5)	29 (33.7)	0 (0.0)	14 (20.3)	44 (18.0)	
Fracture in more than one facial bone	1 (4.5)	12 (14.0)	15 (22.4)	21 (30.4)	49 (20.1)	
Presence of associated trauma						<0.001
Yes	14 (63.6)	3 (3.5)	19 (28.4)	4 (5.8)	40 (16.4)	
No	8 (36.4)	83 (96.5)	48 (71.6)	65 (94.2)	204 (83.6)	
Type of treatment						<0.001
Surgical	1 (4.5)	83 (96.5)	67 (100.0)	69 (100.0)	220 (90.2)	
Non-surgical	21 (95.5)	3 (3.5)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	24 (9.8)	
Quarter of care						<0.001
First	7 (31.8)	5 (5.8)	10 (14.9)	1 (1.4)	23 (9.4)	
Second	3 (13.6)	10 (11.6)	18 (26.9)	4 (5.8)	35 (14.3)	
Third	9 (40.9)	37 (43.0)	31 (46.3)	0 (0.0)	77 (31.6)	
Fourth	3 (13.6)	34 (39.5)	8 (11.9)	64 (92.8)	109 (44.7)	

Maxillofacial trauma was more frequent in young adult patients, in agreement with previous findings in literature.¹⁷ The frequent occurrence of these traumas at this stage of the life cycle can be attributed to the fact that this group performs exercises and dangerous sports; in addition to the use of transport means at high speeds.¹⁸ The greater victimization of young people is very worrying, since it may possibly generate sequels that could compromise their performance of work activities. Future studies should be carried out to assess the association between absenteeism and morbidity resulting from external causes, especially traffic accidents and interpersonal violence.

Of the four identified clusters, three were related to victims of traffic accidents, reflecting their prominent role as an etiological agent for facial trauma, especially fractures. This information corroborates previous studies in literature showing the high prevalence of traumas due to traffic accidents.^{5,17} Probably, due to high speed driving, non-permitted overtaking and the lack of citizenship exercise in traffic may explain the occurrence of traffic accidents in the region studied. Although not assessed in this study, alcohol consumption is an aspect to be considered in the etiology of facial fractures, and may be involved in traffic accidents. In many cases, patients attribute fracture to an accidental fall, omitting the alcohol consumption, which makes it difficult to verify the involvement of alcoholic beverages in cases of fractures.

The length of hospital stay is a crucial point that must be taken into account during the process of redesigning health services. In this study, the length of hospital stay ranged from 1 to 28 days. In the study developed by van Hout et al.,¹⁹ this period was much longer (1 to 127 days). An explanation for the longer hospitalization period would be the absence of a standard hospitalization time, as this varies according to the patient's need. The most common fracture pattern in this study was that of the zygomatic complex, especially among patients in cluster 3, presenting a mean longer hospitalization time compared to those of the other clusters. The zygomatic region is commonly fractured due to its prominent anatomy on the face.^{6,18} With the exception of cluster 1, the type of treatment most adopted

corresponded to the surgical one. This result is a reflection of the complexity of trauma cases. The greater the energy associated with the cause of trauma, the greater the trauma complexity and the greater the probability of surgical treatment.²⁰

In general, the highest care frequency was recorded in the fourth quarter. In a 10-year study at the University Hospital of Innsbruck (Austria), Gassner et al.¹¹ concluded that August was the month with the highest care frequency, emphasizing that this is a summer month in the north hemisphere. The distribution of months varies according to the place of study; and as Brazil is a tropical climate country, it has no drastic changes in temperature in seasons.²⁰ A large popular festivity takes place in the region under study in June, which increases the number of people who come from neighboring cities and other states to celebrate the June celebrations. The lower number of treatments performed on the second quarter may be a reflection of the awareness campaigns for the prevention of accidents and violence events developed in recent years.

One of the limitations of this study is its cross-sectional design, not allowing establishing causal relationships, and the fact that the sample was of the intentional type. In addition, it was not possible to measure the impact of trauma on the quality of life of victims, which requires future investigations. Studies with appropriate methodology to evaluate the influence of the use of psychoactive substances and the occurrence of facial traumas are essential and represent an area that can be approached in future research. The results obtained are expected to substantially contribute for the planning of prevention and management actions in health, epidemiological surveillance and reorientation of assistance practices to victims of facial traumas due to external causes.

CONCLUSION

According to the results obtained, it could be concluded that the majority of victims corresponded to men who were involved in traffic accidents, presenting fractures mainly of the zygomatic complex requiring surgical treatment.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS: Each author made significant individual contributions to this manuscript. IPSF (0000-0002-3226-4647)* acquired and participated in the technical procedures to format the data; IMB (0000-0003-4750-5666)* and LMN (0000-0002-6484-8006)* acquired and interpreted the data, and drafted and revised the manuscript. RGG (0000-0002-1994-3506)* and SD (0000-0002-7836-896X)* supervised the study and participated in the conception and development of the method and critical review for final approval of the manuscript. *ORCID (Open Researcher and Contributor ID).

REFERENCES

- Mabrouk A, Helal H, Mohamed AR, Mahmoud N. Incidence, etiology, and patterns of maxillofacial fractures in ain-shams university, cairo, egypt: a 4-year retrospective study. *Craniomaxillofac Trauma Reconstr.* 2014;7(3):224-32.
- Salentin EG, Peerdeman SM, Boffano P, van den Bergh B, Forouzanfar T. A ten-year analysis of the traumatic maxillofacial and brain injury patient in Amsterdam: incidence and aetiology. *J Craniomaxillofac Surg.* 2014;42(6):705-10.
- Kraft A, Abermann E, Stigler R, Zsifkovits C, Pedross F, Kloss F, Gassner R. Craniomaxillofacial trauma: synopsis of 14,654 cases with 35,129 injuries in 15 years. *Craniomaxillofac Trauma Reconstr.* 2012;5(1):41-50.
- Rallis G, Stathopoulos P, Igoumenakis D, Krasadakis C, Mourouzis C, Mezitis M. Treating maxillofacial trauma for over half a century: how can we interpret the changing patterns in etiology and management? *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol.* 2015;119(6):614-8.
- Leles JL, dos Santos EJ, Jorge FD, da Silva ET, Leles CR. Risk factors for maxillofacial injuries in a Brazilian emergency hospital sample. *J Appl Oral Sci.* 2010;18(1):23-9.
- Pombo M, Luaces-Rey R, Pértega S, Arenaz J, Crespo JL, García-Rozado A, et al. Review of 793 facial fractures treated from 2001 to 2008 in a coruña university hospital: types and etiology. *Craniomaxillofac Trauma Reconstr.* 2010;3(1):49-54.
- Aduen PA, Koffler MJ, Cox DJ, Sarver DE, Lunsford E. Motor vehicle driving in high incidence psychiatric disability: Comparison of drivers with ADHD, depression, and no known psychopathology. *J Psychiatr Res.* 2015; 64:59-66.
- Aduen PA, Koffler MJ, Cox DJ, Sarver DE, Lunsford E. Motor vehicle driving in high incidence psychiatric disability: Comparison of drivers with ADHD, depression, and no known psychopathology. *J Psychiatr Res.* 2015; 64:59-66.
- Brasileiro BF, Passeri LA. Epidemiological analysis of maxillofacial fractures in Brazil: a 5-year prospective study. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod.* 2006;102(1):28-34.
- Santos SE, Marchiori EC, Soares AJ, Asprino L, de Souza Filho FJ, de Moraes M, et al. A 9-year retrospective study of dental trauma in Piracicaba and neighboring regions in the State of São Paulo, Brazil. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2010;68(8):1826-32.
- Gassner R, Tuli T, Hächl O, Moreira R, Ulmer H. Craniomaxillofacial trauma in children: a review of 3,385 cases with 6,060 injuries in 10 years. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2004;62(4):399-407.
- Júnior SM, Santos SE, Kluppel LE, Asprino L, Moreira RW, de Moraes M. A comparison of motorcycle and bicycle accidents in oral and maxillofacial trauma. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2012;70(3):577-83.
- Hair JF, Black WC, Babin JB, Anderson RE, Tatham RL. *Multivariate data analysis.* 7th ed. Prentice-Hall: Copyright; 2009.
- Verma JP. *Cluster Analysis: for segmenting the population.* 2013 In: Verma JP, editor. *Data Analysis Management with SPSS software.* New Delhi: Springer; 2013. p. 317-58.
- Kamulegeya A, Lakor F, Kabenge K. Oral maxillofacial fractures seen at a Ugandan tertiary hospital: a six-month prospective study. *Clinics (Sao Paulo).* 2009;64(9):843-8.
- Al-Khateeb T, Abdullah FM. Craniomaxillofacial injuries in the United Arab Emirates: a retrospective study. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2007;65(6):1094-101.
- Martini MZ, Takahashi A, de Oliveira Neto HG, de Carvalho Júnior JP, Curcio R, Shinohara EH. Epidemiology of mandibular fractures treated in a Brazilian level I trauma public hospital in the city of São Paulo, Brazil. *Braz Dent J.* 2006;17(3):243-8.
- Singh JK, Lateef M, Khan MA, Khan T. Clinical study of maxillofacial trauma in Kashmir. *Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 2005;57(1):24-7.
- van Hout WM, van Cann EM, Abbink JH, Koole R. An epidemiological study of maxillofacial fractures requiring surgical treatment at a tertiary trauma centre between 2005 and 2010. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2013;51(5):416-20.
- Nardis Ada C, Costa SA, da Silva RA, Kaba SC. Patterns of paediatric facial fractures in a hospital of São Paulo, Brazil: a retrospective study of 3 years. *J Craniomaxillofac Surg.* 2013;41(3):226-9.