Abstract
This study aimed to establish the association of the forensic psychological evaluation and other evidences to the decision making process by judicial operators in administrative family courts in Bogotá, through a research with a transversal descriptive cut analytical design on 47 women, victims of partner violence, who sought protective measures at the Integral Care Center against Domestic Violence (CAVIF). Analyzing the final protective measures imposed in 16 administrative family courts on Bogotá, the results showed no association between the use of forensic psychological evaluations, expert's concept of nonfatal injuries from the National Institute of Legal Medicine and Forensic Sciences (INMLCF), social forensic report, legal complaint, witness testimony and the decisions made by the family commissioner. The only association found was between acceptance of charges and approval decisions for settlement's agreements, as well as with the party's injunction to non-aggression.
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