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Evaluation of pharmacy students’ clinical interventions on a general medicine practice experience


ABSTRACT
As colleges of pharmacy prepare a new generation of practitioners, it is important that during practice experiences students learn the impact of clinical interventions. For over ten years, pharmacy students have been a vital part of the multidisciplinary team at the military treatment facility. The overall impact of the student interventions on patient care has not been evaluated. To evaluate the impact, the students began documenting their clinical interventions in Medkeeper RxIntervention™, an online database. The program is used to document faculty and fourth year pharmacy students’ pharmaceutical interventions.

Objective: The objective of this study was to analyze the interventions completed by fourth year pharmacy students during a general medicine advanced pharmacy practice experience at a military treatment facility.

Methods: The students completing their general medicine advanced pharmacy practice experience at the military treatment facility are responsible for self reporting all interventions made during clinical rounds into the Medkeeper RxIntervention™ database. The researchers retrospectively collected and analyzed interventions made from June 2008 to June 2009.

Results: The total number of interventions recorded by 8 fourth year pharmacy students was 114. Students averaged a number of 14.3 interventions during an eight week practice experience. Students spent an average of 5 minutes per intervention.

Ninety-five percent of the interventions were accepted.

Conclusion: Fourth year pharmacy students’ recommendations were accepted at a high rate by resident physicians. The high acceptance rate may have the ability to positively impact patient care.
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EVALUACIÓN DE LAS INTERVENCIONES CLÍNICAS DE LOS ESTUDIANTES DE FARMACIA EN UNAS PRÁCTICAS DE MEDICINA GENERAL

RESUMEN
Mientras las facultades de farmacia preparan una nueva generación de facultativos, es importante que durante las prácticas tuteladas los alumnos aprendan el impacto de las intervenciones clínicas. Durante más de 10 años, los estudiantes de farmacia han sido un elemento vital del equipo multidisciplinario de la clínica militar. No se ha evaluado el impacto general de las intervenciones de los estudiantes en la atención a los pacientes. Para evaluar el impacto, los estudiantes comienzan a registrar sus intervenciones clínicas en Medkeeper RxInterventions™, una base de datos online. El programa se usa para documentar las intervenciones farmacéuticas de los profesores y los estudiantes de cuarto año.

Objetivo: El objetivo de este estudio fue evaluar las intervenciones completadas pro estudiantes de farmacia de cuarto año durante las prácticas tuteladas avanzadas en una clínica de medicina general de un establecimiento militar de tratamiento.

Métodos: Los estudiantes que realizan sus prácticas tuteladas avanzadas en el establecimiento militar de tratamiento son responsables de auto-comunicar todas las intervenciones realizadas durante las rondas clínicas en la base de datos Medkeeper RxIntervention™. Los investigadores recogieron y analizaron retrospectivamente las intervenciones realizadas entre junio 2008 y junio 2009.

Resultados: El número total de intervenciones registradas por los alumnos de farmacia de cuarto año fue de 114. Los estudiantes realizaron un promedio de 14,3 intervenciones durante sus ocho semanas de prácticas. Los estudiantes pasaron una media de 5 minutos por intervención. El 95% de las intervenciones fueron aceptadas.

Conclusión: Las recomendaciones de los estudiantes de farmacia de cuarto año fueron
involved drug information. The majority of the interventions involved drug modifications and 54 interventions at the end of the 12 week study, 50 interventions involved drug initiation and drug patient counseling, or any general information. The interventions were divided into two categories: consults, including pharmacokinetic consults, pharmacotherapeutic interventions was evaluated. The interventions directly affecting patient care. Pharmacists and pharmacy students have demonstrated positive effects on patient care outcomes, including the prevention of adverse drug reactions and medication errors, improvement of patient satisfaction and quality of life, and improvement of economic outcomes.

The opportunity to document interventions in an online database allows the interventions, recommendations, and actions to be recorded and reviewed to help evaluate its importance. In a study conducted by King et al, the significant impact pharmacy students have in identifying, recommending, and documenting clinical pharmacotherapeutic interventions was evaluated. The use of personal digital assistants (PDA) allowed students to document interventions while on medical rounds through the MedKeeper's intervention tracking program called RxRounds™. The interventions were divided into two categories: drug modification (prevention of adverse effects, drug substitutions, formulation/route changes, and interval modifications) and drug information (general consults, including pharmacokinetic consults, patient counseling, or any general information).

At the end of the 12 week study, 50 interventions involved drug modifications and 54 interventions involved drug information. The majority of the interventions involved drug initiation and drug substitution. Only 2.2% of the interventions were rejected by the preceptors.

As the practice of pharmacy evolves, doctor of pharmacy programs continue to expand their training to more hospital sites to expose pharmacy students to the management of various disease states. For over 10 years, pharmacy students have been an essential part of the medical team at the military treatment facility. The students attended medical rounds with family practice and internal medicine physicians, residents, and interns. The students had the opportunity to make significant interventions by reviewing the patient’s medical chart, being a part of the patient discussion, and serving as a resource to the medical team. Over the years, various preceptors required pharmacy students to document their interventions using a paper system. These interventions are no longer available and were not evaluated.

In 2008, the preceptor implemented the documentation of clinical interventions as one of the fourth year pharmacy students’ daily activities. The students documented the interventions using the Medkeeper RxInterventions™ program. The system helps to document cost savings, report the value of pharmacy activities, and justify staffing. Moreover, it allows organizations that subscribe to the program an opportunity to quickly and efficiently track clinical interventions, activities, adverse drug events, and medication errors. The purpose of this study was to analyze the interventions completed by fourth year pharmacy students during a general medicine advanced pharmacy practice experience at a military treatment facility.

INTRODUCTION

Advanced pharmacy practice experience curriculums have expanded over the last decade to include enhanced training in developing individualized pharmaceutical care plans. The Accreditation Council for Pharmacy Education (ACPE) mandate that such experiences include direct interaction with diverse patient populations in various practice settings and involve collaboration with other healthcare professionals. Advanced pharmacy practice experiences provide fourth year pharmacy students with invaluable on-site experiences that prepare them for a more demanding and clinically involved role on the patient-oriented health care team. During clinical experiences, it has been proven that pharmacy students have an immense impact on medical interventions directly affecting patient care. Pharmacists and pharmacy students have demonstrated positive effects on patient care outcomes, including the prevention of adverse drug reactions and medication errors, improvement of patient satisfaction and quality of life, and improvement of economic outcomes.

The opportunity to document interventions in an online database allows the interventions, recommendations, and actions to be recorded and reviewed to help evaluate its importance. In a study conducted by King et al, the significant impact pharmacy students have in identifying, recommending, and documenting clinical pharmacotherapeutic interventions was evaluated. The use of personal digital assistants (PDA) allowed students to document interventions while on medical rounds through the MedKeeper’s intervention tracking program called RxRounds™. The interventions were divided into two categories: drug modification (prevention of adverse effects, drug substitutions, formulation/route changes, and interval modifications) and drug information (general consults, including pharmacokinetic consults, patient counseling, or any general information).

At the end of the 12 week study, 50 interventions involved drug modifications and 54 interventions involved drug information. The majority of the interventions involved drug initiation and drug substitution. Only 2.2% of the interventions were rejected by the preceptors.

As the practice of pharmacy evolves, doctor of pharmacy programs continue to expand their training to more hospital sites to expose pharmacy students to the management of various disease states. For over 10 years, pharmacy students have been an essential part of the medical team at the military treatment facility. The students attended medical rounds with family practice and internal medicine physicians, residents, and interns. The students had the opportunity to make significant interventions by reviewing the patient’s medical chart, being a part of the patient discussion, and serving as a resource to the medical team. Over the years, various preceptors required pharmacy students to document their interventions using a paper system. These interventions are no longer available and were not evaluated.

In 2008, the preceptor implemented the documentation of clinical interventions as one of the fourth year pharmacy students’ daily activities. The students documented the interventions using the Medkeeper RxInterventions™ program. The system helps to document cost savings, report the value of pharmacy activities, and justify staffing. Moreover, it allows organizations that subscribe to the program an opportunity to quickly and efficiently track clinical interventions, activities, adverse drug events, and medication errors. The purpose of this study was to analyze the interventions completed by fourth year pharmacy students during a general medicine advanced pharmacy practice experience at a military treatment facility.

METHODS

The university’s College of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences (COPPS) subscribes to the Medkeeper RxIntervention™ program. This application was developed to document clinical pharmacists’ interventions. The COPPS utilizes the program to document faculty and fourth year pharmacy students’ pharmaceutical interventions.

The researchers received approval from the institution’s investigational review board to conduct the research. The participants were fourth year pharmacy students completing their General Medicine I and II advanced pharmacy practice experience at a 60 bed military treatment facility. The preceptor for the experience was a pharmacy practice faculty. During the 8 week experience, the participants were responsible for documenting all interventions. The completion of the interventions was not part of their final grade but it was a part of their daily activities. The participants began documenting the interventions in June 2008. The preceptor trained the students on the Medkeeper RxInterventions™ program. The preceptor defined the intervention categories, provided examples of interventions, and explained how to document the required information into the database. The students were responsible for voluntarily self reporting all interventions made during medical rounds. The students were encouraged to input interventions at least once a week. If an intervention was categorized as pending, the students were responsible for changing the status upon receiving the necessary information to one of the following: accepted or rejected.

The researchers retrospectively collected and analyzed interventions from June 2008 to June 2009. The researchers utilized the RxIntervention™ program to generate intervention reports based on
RESULTS

The total number of interventions recorded from June 2008 to June 2009 by 8 fourth year pharmacy students was 114. Each student averaged 14.3 interventions during an 8 week rotation period. This equates to 1.8 interventions per student per week. This number reflects interventions with the status of accepted, rejected and unknown. Ninety five percent (109 of 114) of the interventions were accepted. There were 5 rejected interventions. Eighteen percent of the interventions were drug information related. The average time spent per intervention was 5 minutes. Drug information consultations required the most time to complete with the average being 30 minutes. Patient counseling, antibiotic consults, and drug therapy review required 20, 15, and 10 minutes to complete, respectively.

DISCUSSION

The acceptance rate in our study is consistent with other studies that have reported an acceptance rate of 64% to 97.9%. All recommendations were verbally presented to the physician. In two studies evaluating written versus oral recommendations made by pharmacy students, it was found that verbal recommendations had a higher acceptance rate. Pound and Miller reported an 82.8% acceptance rate of verbal recommendations compared to 54.2% of written recommendations (p<0.0001). In the Lundquist et al study, the acceptance of verbal recommendations versus written recommendations was 97.9% vs. 83.6%, respectively. It was concluded that the higher rate of acceptance may be due to the student’s face-to-face time with the physician and the opportunity to engage in discussions regarding patient-specific recommendations. Additionally, during the 8 week experience the pharmacy students developed relationships with the resident physicians which may have led them to be more receptive to recommendations.

The 8 fourth year pharmacy students averaged 14.3 interventions in an 8 week period. This correlates to 1.8 interventions per student per week. Twenty-seven students participated in the Dennehy et al study. The students were asked to document their interventions over a 5 day period during the first, third, and fifth week of a six week inpatient rotation. Students completed a total of 4.3 interventions per student per week. In a similar study by Chisholm et al, 15 students completed 2.9 interventions per student per week. It is uncertain as to why the number of interventions for this study group was smaller than those previously reported in the literature. But it can be postulated that the number of students who may have been a contributing factor. It is also unclear whether the size of the facility may have been a factor because the size of the facility was not reported in the other trials referenced. In addition, Dennehy et al evaluated the associated drug cost of the interventions. The annualized drug costs indicated a savings of USD578.75 over the 15 day study period. Our study reported a cost savings per student of USD563.00 over the 8 week study period.

There were 65 categories listed for students to select as a description of the intervention performed. Of these 65 categories, 20 of these options were used more frequently. The most common interventions documented were drug information questions, drug therapy review, dosing consult, and antibiotic consult at 24%, 15%, 13%, and 9% respectively. This information can be found in Table 2.

There were 65 categories listed for students to select as a description of the intervention performed. Of these 65 categories, 20 of these options were used more frequently. The most common interventions documented were drug information questions, drug therapy review, dosing consult, and antibiotic consult at 24%, 15%, 13%, and 9% respectively. This information can be found in Table 2.

DISCUSSION

The acceptance rate in our study is consistent with other studies that have reported an acceptance rate of 64% to 97.9%. All recommendations were verbally presented to the physician. In two studies evaluating written versus oral recommendations made by pharmacy students, it was found that verbal recommendations had a higher acceptance rate. Pound and Miller reported an 82.8% acceptance rate of verbal recommendations compared to 54.2% of written recommendations (p<0.0001). In the Lundquist et al study, the acceptance of verbal recommendations versus written recommendations was 97.9% vs. 83.6%, respectively. It was concluded that the higher rate of acceptance may be due to the student’s face-to-face time with the physician and the opportunity to engage in discussions regarding patient-specific recommendations. Additionally, during the 8 week experience the pharmacy students developed relationships with the resident physicians which may have led them to be more receptive to recommendations.

The 8 fourth year pharmacy students averaged 14.3 interventions in an 8 week period. This correlates to 1.8 interventions per student per week. Twenty-seven students participated in the Dennehy et al study. The students were asked to document their interventions over a 5 day period during the first, third, and fifth week of a six week inpatient rotation. Students completed a total of 4.3 interventions per student per week. In a similar study by Chisholm et al, 15 students completed 2.9 interventions per student per week. It is uncertain as to why the number of interventions for this study group was smaller than those previously reported in the literature. But it can be postulated that the number of study participants may have been a contributing factor. It is also unclear whether the size of the facility may have been a factor because the size of the facility was not reported in the other trials referenced. In addition, Dennehy et al evaluated the associated drug cost of the interventions. The annualized drug costs indicated a savings of USD578.75 over the 15 day study period. Our study reported a cost savings per student of USD563.00 over the 8 week study period.

The most frequent type of intervention performed in our study was providing drug information (30%). Two other studies illustrated similar results. The 3 year study conducted by Pham et al that included 63 fourth year pharmacy students reported that 46.8% of the interventions were providing drug information. A similar study by Slaughter et al which evaluated 6 post B.S. second year Pharm.D. students over 8 months found that 40.4% of all interventions were drug information related. A 3 year study by DiVall et al which included 83 students and 58 sites reported that the majority (17.8%) of the interventions in the general medicine setting were dose adjustment.

| Table 1. Student interventions documented between June 2008 and June 2009. |
|---------------------------------|------------------|
| Categorical Data               | Value            |
| Total Number of Interventions documented (n=) | 114             |
| Average Number of Interventions During 8 week rotation per student (both accepted and rejected) | 14.3            |
| Percentage of Accepted Interventions | 95.6%           |
| Average Time Spent per Intervention (minutes) | 5 (1-10)        |
| Estimated Average Cost Savings per student (USD) | 563.00 (200-1250) |

There were 65 categories listed for students to select as a description of the intervention performed. Of these 65 categories, 20 of these options were used more frequently. The most common interventions documented were drug information questions, drug therapy review, dosing consult, and antibiotic consult at 24%, 15%, 13%, and 9% respectively. This information can be found in Table 2.

Table 2. Percentages of the Most Frequently Reported Interventions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categorical Data</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drug Information</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Therapy Review</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dosing Consult</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibiotic Consult</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmacokinetic Consult</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More Appropriate Dose</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More Appropriate Drug</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
After evaluating the data, there are several possible limitations to this study that should be addressed. The students were responsible for voluntarily self-reporting their interventions at least weekly. There is the potential that the students may have forgotten or not reported all interventions made during the study period. There is also the possibility that students may not have reported rejected interventions. This could have reduced the total number of interventions made by the students. However, the students were instructed to document all interventions: accepted and rejected. Another possible limitation is that the students were responsible for classifying the interventions. The students were given instructions on how to classify their interventions as well as examples of each type of intervention. However, it is possible that the students may have incorrectly classified various interventions.

CONCLUSIONS

Training of healthcare professionals often involves participation on multidisciplinary teams. For 10 years at this military treatment facility, pharmacists and pharmacy students have been incorporated into the team. Until this study, there was no evidence to demonstrate the impact that pharmacy students have on the overall care of the general medicine patients in this practice setting. It is evident by the results of this study that the pharmacy students play a major role in making pharmacotherapy recommendations. The 95.6% acceptance rate reveals that the medical team was receptive of the pharmacotherapy recommendations. This study opens the door for the need of larger studies that evaluate the impact of pharmacy student clinical interventions at multiple advanced practice experience sites, exploration into the overall benefit of interventions based on the type or documentation method, and the potential cost-savings due to the avoidance of medication errors.
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