



CERNE

ISSN: 0104-7760

cerne@dcf.ufla.br

Universidade Federal de Lavras

Brasil

Marques da Silva, Alexandre; de Oliveira Canuto, Daniela Silvia; Teixeira de Moraes,
Mario Luiz

GENETIC PARAMETERS IN RIPARIAN REFLORESTATION WITHOUT
STRUCTURE OF PROGENIES BY MIXED MODELS (REML/BLUP)

CERNE, vol. 23, núm. 4, octubre-diciembre, 2017, pp. 501-506

Universidade Federal de Lavras

Lavras, Brasil

Available in: <http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=74454660012>

- How to cite
- Complete issue
- More information about this article
- Journal's homepage in redalyc.org

redalyc.org

Scientific Information System

Network of Scientific Journals from Latin America, the Caribbean, Spain and Portugal

Non-profit academic project, developed under the open access initiative

Alexandre Marques da Silva¹, Daniela Silvia de Oliveira Canuto^{1*}, Mario Luiz Teixeira de Moraes¹

Keywords:
Multivariate analysis
Genotypic divergence
Conservation

Historic:
Received 09/10/2017
Accepted 19/12/2017

Palavras chave:
Análise multivariada
Divergência genotípica
Conservação

^{*}Correspondence:
dsocanuto@gmail.com

GENETIC PARAMETERS IN RIPARIAN REFORESTATION WITHOUT STRUCTURE OF PROGENIES BY MIXED MODELS (REML/BLUP)

ABSTRACT: The objective of this work is to estimate genetic parameters for silvicultural traits in riparian reforestation at 20 years of age, without progeny structure by mixed models (REML / BLUP). The present work was carried out at the Fazenda de Ensino, Pesquisa e Extensão (FEPE) of the Faculdade de Engenharia de Ilha Solteira, Universidade Estadual "Julio de Mesquita Filho" (FEIS/UNESP), located in the municipality of Selvíria (MS). In February and March of 1986 began the planting of the riparian reforestation in modules, existing two Modules with different numbers of species. The spacing used in the planting of the species was 2 x 3 m. The riparian reforestation has an area of 9.5 ha in the total sum of the two Modules of planting. The silvicultural traits evaluated at 20 years were total plant height (ALT, m), diameter at breast height (DBH, cm) and survival of planted individuals, which were used to calculate the variance component components of genetic parameters, using the genetic-statistical program SELEGEN-REML/BLUP. High genetic variability was observed among the genotypes for the silvicultural traits studied in the riparian reforestation. The accuracy was of high magnitude, showing good reliability of the results. The mixed model method (REML/BLUP) was adequate for the estimation of genetic parameters and prediction of genotypic values in riparian reforestation, and it could be used routinely.

PARÂMETROS GENÉTICOS EM REFLORESTAMENTO CILIAR SEM ESTRUTURA DE PROGÊNIES POR MODELOS MISTO (REML/BLUP)

RESUMO: O objetivo deste trabalho é estimar parâmetros genéticos para caracteres silviculturais em reflorestamento ciliar aos 20 anos de idade, sem estrutura de progênies por modelos mistos (REML/BLUP). O presente trabalho foi realizado na Fazenda de Ensino, Pesquisa e Extensão (FEPE) da Faculdade de Engenharia da Ilha Solteira, Universidade Estadual "Julio de Mesquita Filho" (FEIS/UNESP), localizada no município de Selvíria (MS). Em fevereiro e março de 1986 iniciou o plantio do reflorestamento ciliar em módulos, existindo dois modelos com diferentes números de espécies. O espaçamento utilizado no plantio das espécies foi de 2 x 3 m. O reflorestamento ciliar tem uma área de 9,5 ha na soma total dos dois modelos de plantio. As características silviculturais avaliadas aos 20 anos foram a altura total de planta (ALT, m), o diâmetro na altura do peito (DAP, cm) e a sobrevivência dos indivíduos plantados, as quais foram utilizadas para calcular as estimativas dos componentes de variância dos parâmetros genéticos, utilizando o programa genético-estatístico SELEGEN-REML/BLUP. Observou-se alta variabilidade genética entre os genótipos para os caracteres silviculturais estudados no reflorestamento ciliar. A acurácia foi de alta magnitude, mostrando boa confiabilidade dos resultados. O método do modelo misto (REML/BLUP) mostrou-se adequado à estimação de parâmetros genéticos e predição de valores genotípicos no reflorestamento ciliar, podendo ser empregado rotineiramente.

DOI:

10.1590/01047760201723042450

¹ Paulista State University - Ilha Solteira, São Paulo, Brazil

INTRODUCTION

Among the main consequences of deforestation are: depletion of timber stocks, accelerated soil destruction, gradual desertification, with consequent decrease in productivity, occurrence of floods and increase of the greenhouse effect. It is known that the forest is an association of living organisms in equilibrium, highly vulnerable to internal and external threats. Any damage can break the integrity of that harmonic cycle and cause chain destruction. Activities such as logging, farming, urban sprawl, fires and inadequate management of the soil, mining and even tourism and leisure, without appropriate criteria, can harm the forest.

The Cerrado *stricto sensu* is the biome that has been suffering the highest rates of deforestation due to the rapid process of expansion of the country's agricultural frontiers, attracting much of the national agribusiness to these areas. Among the vegetal formations of the Cerrado, the fluvial or riparian environment, it is characterized by being associated with water courses with high richness, genetic diversity and for its role in the protection of water resources, edaphic, wild and aquatic fauna. In this context, it is necessary to develop alternative models of management that will contribute to reduce the degradation process of riparian forests, such as restoring natural vegetation and preserving biodiversity, providing food and shelter to the local fauna, restoring the protection of body of water and avoid erosion.

The intense and disorderly devastation can lead to the disappearance of several forest species of ecological and commercial importance in several regions, with serious impairment of their genetic potential (KAGEYAMA; DIAS, 1982). Therefore, its restoration, using plant species suitable for this purpose, is an urgent and essential necessity for the conservation of the water resources of altered river basins (SALVADOR, 1989). The restoration or mixed planting of native species in deforested areas, aiming to form a forest as close as possible to the original one, has been the utopia of researchers who have been dedicating themselves to the recovery of areas of permanent degraded protection (KAGEYAMA; GANDARA, 2004). The genetic diversity promotes the raw material for the adaptation, evolution and survival of species and individuals, being fundamental for the sustainability and stability of the ecosystem (BARREIRA et al., 2006). That is, genetic variation is necessary for populations to be able to cope with environmental changes (SANTOS; DIAS, 2013).

In the characterization of genetic diversity, basically four types of markers are used: morphological, biochemical, molecular and cytological (KAMADA et al.,

2009). Morphological markers have the advantage of being identified according to the commercial interest of the plant as: height (H), DBH (diameter at breast height), wood density, stem shape, seed production, etc. Phenotypic traits are used as morphological markers since the time of Mendel as easily identifiable visual phenotypes.

According to Resende (2016) the procedure of estimation/prediction in the improvement of perennial species that is being most used is the REML/BLUP (restricted maximum likelihood/best non-vitiated linear prediction). The REML/BLUP method is an excellent genotypic evaluation procedure, and it is known as the mixed model methodology. This procedure naturally addresses the imbalance, leading to more accurate estimates and predictions of genetic parameters and values, respectively. In view of this situation, the present work aims to estimate genetic parameters for silvicultural traits in riparian reforestation at 20 years of age, without progeny structure by the mixed REML/BLUP models.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The present work was carried out at the Fazenda de Ensino, Pesquisa e Extensão (FEPE) of the Faculty of Engineering of Ilha Solteira, Universidade Paulista "Julio de Mesquita Filho" (FEIS/UNESP), located in the municipality of Selvíria (MS).

The climate of the region was classified as Aw, according to the Köppen system, presenting rainfall in the summer and dryness in the winter. By the current nomenclature at suborder level is a RED LATOSOL (EMBRAPA, 2013). The study area is on the Paraná Sedimentary Basin Plateau, with very smooth slopes, flat and gently undulating relief (ALVES; SOUZA, 2008).

The riparian reforestation area is located at the FEPE and it was deforested and had an intense mechanized activity for rice planting (Silva et al 2016). In February and March of 1986 the riparian reforestation was carried out in two modules, with different numbers of species, using seedlings donated by CESP (Companhia Energética de São Paulo). The Module I (Table 1) consists of 21 species, planted in 33 repetitions, and Module II (Table 2) by 14 species in 13 repetitions and the spacing used was the 2 x 3 m. The riparian reforestation has an area of 9.5 ha in the total sum of the two Modules of planting. In Table 1 and 2 are the sketch of species distribution of Module I and Module 2, respectively. The experiments have 11 planting lines, with the first and last rows (I and XI) being bordered. The identification of the species used in the riparian reforestation is presented in Table 3. The individuals originated from collections made in several trees and the seeds were mixed, that is, without control of progenies.

TABLE 1 Schematic of distribution of Module I species from the riparian reforestation in FEPE.

Line	Species																			
I	10	12	2	17	21	1	4	18	13	14	11	19	5	6	9	3	16	15	7	20
II	7	11	18	5	20	10	16	21	2	14	13	3	8	19	17	1	6	12	9	15
III	10	6	11	12	18	2	5	20	15	14	8	21	17	7	4	3	19	9	1	13
IV	17	15	7	1	6	14	5	13	2	18	8	3	16	11	10	9	20	4	21	12
V	18	3	21	15	10	14	17	20	2	8	5	6	16	4	19	13	12	9	1	11
VI	2	10	8	5	12	21	11	6	16	15	20	3	4	19	14	17	7	9	18	13
VII	10	20	4	11	12	17	6	8	18	15	2	16	14	19	5	21	1	9	7	3
VIII	9	6	19	10	7	13	14	15	5	12	8	11	20	17	1	3	4	18	2	21
IX	21	7	15	20	12	6	17	9	16	1	14	10	13	2	4	5	19	11	18	3
X	15	20	10	5	1	18	8	9	19	13	2	6	4	3	16	7	21	11	12	14
XI	9	16	5	11	15	18	21	12	17	10	8	2	4	14	6	13	3	20	1	7

TABLE 2 Schematic of distribution of Module II species from the riparian reforestation in FEPE.

Line	Species													
I	14	9	4	3	6	1	5	13	2	12	10	7	8	11
II	9	11	8	2	12	7	10	4	1	13	6	14	3	5
III	9	11	7	12	4	3	8	6	10	14	13	5	1	2
IV	7	6	14	8	13	5	10	9	2	11	3	1	4	12
V	10	8	7	3	6	4	12	1	2	9	11	14	13	5
VI	11	8	2	6	9	10	12	7	4	13	3	1	14	5
VII	5	12	11	7	2	6	13	1	8	3	10	4	9	14
VIII	9	11	1	7	13	5	4	8	14	10	12	6	2	3
IX	1	14	5	11	7	9	6	4	3	8	12	10	13	2
X	9	3	7	14	12	1	2	8	6	13	4	10	5	11
XI	1	8	6	11	2	12	13	3	4	10	5	14	7	9

The silvicultural traits evaluated at 20 years were: total plant height (H, m), diameter at breast height (DBH, cm) and survival (S) of planted individuals. The species *Plinia trunciflora* (I3A) and *Alibertia edulis* (I3B) did not enter the statistical analysis due the following fact: Due the insufficient number of seedlings of I3B to plant in both modules, some plots were planted with I3B and, then there are modules that were planted the two species, and these are not repeated equally in all modules. The silvicultural trait data were used to calculate the variance components using the genetic-statistical program SELEGEN-REML/BLUP (RESENDE, 2016), which uses genetic evaluation techniques involving the prediction of values and the estimation of variance components. The procedure adopted by the SELEGEN program to predict genetic values is BLUP (best univariate linear prediction), using estimates of variance components obtained by the maximum likelihood (REML) method.

The experiment was set up without a progeny structure and one site, each species was considered as a population and each module one replicate, so the SELEGEN-REML / BLUP was used, following the model 24 (RESENDE, 2006), which refers to complete blocks, several populations, without progeny structure, in one place: $y = Xr + Zg + Wp + e$, where y is the data vector, r is the vector of repetition effects (assumed to be fixed) plus the general mean, g is the vector of genotypic effects of populations (assumed to be random), p is the vector

of plot effects, e is the vector of errors or residuals (random). Capital letters represent the incidence matrixes for these effects.

The genetic parameters estimated by the silvicultural traits were: V_g : genotypic variance among populations; V_c : environmental variance between plots; V_e : residual variance; V_f : individual phenotypic variance; h_g^2 : individual heritability in the broad sense, that is, of the total genotypic effects of populations; c_p^2 : coefficient of determination of plot effects; h_m^2 : heritability of the population mean, assuming complete survival; accuracy of population selection, A_c : assuming complete survival; m : Average of traits; LRT : likelihood ratio test; CV_r : coefficient of relative variation; CV_g : coefficient of genetic variation among progenies; CV_e : coefficient of environment variation. Multivariate analysis was calculated using model 104 (RESENDE, 2006), which calculates measures of genotypic divergence based on the statistical distance of Mahalanobis. This distance allows to obtain alternative groupings, according to the Tocher method. The criterion for evaluating the Tocher grouping is that the mean of the genetic divergence measures within each group should be less than the mean distances between any groups. To obtain the Tocher grouping, the arboreal species *P. trunciflora* and *A. edulis* of Module I and *Eriobotrya japonica* of Module II were withdrawn for the calculation, due to the presence of zeros values, which impaired statistical analysis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Prediction of Genetic Parameters

MODULE I

The V_f for the ALT trait was 27.4194 and the residual variance (V_e) contributed 64%. For DBH, the residual variance (V_e) and genotypic variance among populations (V_g) contributed similarly to the value of phenotypic variation (144.01). For the survival trait (S),

TABLE 3 Species used in riparian reforestation at FEPE.

Number	Name	Common name	Family/Subfamily
Module I			
1	<i>Albizia lebeck</i>	Albizia	Mimosoideae
2	<i>Holocalyx balansae</i>	Alecrim-do-campo	Caesalpinoideae
3	<i>Morus nigra</i>	Amora	Moraceae
4	<i>Myroxylon peruiferum</i>	Bálsamo	Papilionoideae
5	<i>Spondias lutea</i>	Cajá-mirim	Anacardiaceae
6	<i>Peltophorum dubium</i>	Canafistula	Caesalpinoideae
7	<i>Ficus guaranitica</i>	Figueira	Moraceae
8	<i>Psidium guajava</i>	Goiaba	Myrtaceae
9	<i>Parapiptadenia rigida</i>	Guaruaia	Mimosaceae
10	<i>Tabebuia</i> sp.	Ipê-amarelo	Bignoniaceae
11	<i>Tabebuia roseo-alba</i>	Ipê-branco	Bignoniaceae
12	<i>Tabebuia impetiginosa</i>	Ipê-roxo	Biognoniaceae
13A	<i>Plinia trunciflora</i>	Jabuticaba	Myrtaceae
13B	<i>Alibertia edulis</i>	Marmelo	Myrtaceae
14	<i>Jacaranda cuspidifolia</i>	Jacarandá-caroba	Bignoniaceae
15	<i>Machaerium acutifolium</i>	Jacarandá-do-campo	Papilionoideae
16	<i>Syzygium cumini</i>	Jambolão	Myrtaceae
17	<i>Licania tomentosa</i>	Oiti	Chrysobalanaseae
18	<i>Ceiba speciosa</i>	Paineira	Bombacaceae
19	<i>Koeleruteria paniculata</i>	Quereutéria	Sapindaceae
20	<i>Enterolobium contortisiliquum</i>	Tamboril	Mimosoideae
21	<i>Hovenia dulcis</i>	Uva japonesa	Rhamnaceae
Module II			
1	<i>Anadenanthera peregrina</i> var. <i>falcata</i>	Angico-roxo	Mimosaceae
2	<i>Myracrodruon urundeuva</i>	Aroeira	Anacardiaceae
3	<i>Cordia ecalyculata</i>	Café-de-bugre	Boraginaceae
4	<i>Mabea fistulifera</i>	Canudo-de-pito	Euphorbiaceae
5	<i>Terminalia argentea</i>	Capitão-do-campo	Combretaceae
6	<i>Genipa americana</i>	Jenipapo	Rubiaceae
7	<i>Inga</i> sp.	Ingá	Mimosaceae
8	<i>Tabebuia</i> sp.	Ipê-amarelo-do-campo	Bignoniaceae
9	<i>Tabebuia heptaphylla</i>	Ipê-rosa	Bignoniaceae
10	<i>Michelia champaca</i>	Magnólia-amarela	Magnoliaceae
11	<i>Eriobotrya japonica</i>	Nespereira	Rosaceae
12	<i>Adenanthera pavonina</i>	Olho-de-dragão	Fabaceae
13	<i>Leucana leucocephala</i>	Leucena	Mimosoideae
14	<i>Melia azedarach</i>	Santa-bárbara	Meliaceae

the phenotypic variation (0.2285) had a greater contribution to the residual variation ($V_e = 0.1590$) (Table 4).

The individual heritability in the broad sense (h_g^2) was higher for the DBH trait. This result is expected since the DBH was the one that presented the greatest contribution of the genotypic variation among populations (V_g) under the phenotypic variation (V_f). The coefficient of determination of plot effects (c_p^2) was of low magnitude, indicating a low environmental variation. Values of c_p^2 observed in trials with high experimental control in perennial plants are around 10%, when the estimated heritability is of the order of 30%, that is, 10% of the total phenotypic variation within

the block (FARIAS NETO et al., 2008). Thus, at the 30% level of individual heritability, > 0.10 can be classified as low and > 0.10 can be classified as high, thus allowing inference on spatial variability within blocks. The mean heritability of populations was high for all traits, suggesting high genetic progress in response to selection using population information.

The accuracy (A_c) was of high magnitude for the traits (0.99), according to Resende and Duarte (2007), showing robust reliability of the results, since the selective accuracy reflects the quality of the information and procedures used in the prediction of genetic values and the higher. The selective accuracy in the evaluation of a individual, the greater the confidence in the assessment and predicted for the individual. (PIMENTEL et al., 2014). This measure is associated with the precision of selection and refers to the correlation between predicted genetic values and true genetic values of individuals.

The overall mean for the H trait was higher in Module II, but lower for the DBH and for the S the Modules presented close values. The coefficient of genetic variation (CV_g), which indicates in percentage the general average of the amount of genetic variation (RESENDE, 2007) was superior for the DBH trait, but inferior to the experimental coefficient, similar to Canuto et al. (2016). The coefficient of relative variation (CV_r) and CV_g indicated that DBH is the best trait for selection and the one that presents the best possibilities of finding superior progenies. Also, it is the trait with higher correlation with height (Table 5.) and second Silva et al. (2012) is a trait easily measurable and that holds less percentage of error during its evaluation.

MODULE II

The genetic variance was the one of the variance componetes that contributed most to the phenotypic variance values for H and DBH traits, reflecting the high individual hedge values in the restricted sense, a , 59 and 0.63 for H and DBH, respectively (Table 4).

The coefficient of determination of plot effects (c_p^2) indicated that there is a low environmental variation between plots, being higher for S . The average heritability of populations was of high magnitude for the three traits evaluated, but it assumes complete survival, which does not occur in the experiment. Following the classification proposed by Resende and Duarte (2007), the accuracy was very high ($A_c < 0.90$) for all evaluated traits.

The coefficient of genetic variation (CV_g) was highest for DBH and was also higher than the coefficient of experimental variation. The CV_r , which is the parameter

TABLE 4 Estimates of genetic parameters for silvicultural traits studied from Modules I and II of riparian reforestation at 20 years of age.

Parameter	Module I			Module II		
	Height (m)	DBH (cm)	Survival	Height (m)	DBH (cm)	Survival
Vg	8.4400	67.6992	0.0408	26.1417	90.1113	0.0522
Vc	1.3511	6.2698	0.0287	0.1899	0.2974	0.0128
Ve	17.6282	70.0440	0.1590	18.0535	52.5793	0.1677
Vf	27.4194	144.0130	0.2285	44.3850	142.9881	0.2328
h_g^2	0.31 ± 0.03	0.47 ± 0.04	0.18 ± 0.01	0.59 ± 0.09	0.63 ± 0.09	0.22 ± 0.03
c_p^2	0.0493	0.0435	0.1256	0.0043	0.0021	0.0550
h_m^2	0.99	0.99	0.98	0.99	0.99	0.96
Ac	0.9945	0.9970	0.9838	0.9971	0.9976	0.9789
m	8.73	14.26	0.37	9.89	13.55	0.36
LRT	311.99**	517.15**	383.93**	187.23**	228.27**	137.31**
CVr	0.56	0.81	0.46	1.50	1.54	1.04
CVg	33.31	57.71	55.00	51.72	70.07	62.64
CVe	59.70	71.21	119.30	34.40	45.36	60.55

** P<0.01

that indicates the ratio between the genotype coefficient of the individual and the experimental coefficient was higher than one for all the traits, specially for DBH, which indicate the DBH as the most favorable trait for selection (ZARUMA et al., 2015). Furthermore, the DBH is the trait that there is greater possibility of selecting better genotypes, indicated by the CVg, and has a high correlation with the ALT.

Matrix of genetic correlation

The genetic correlation between H and DBH was high and positive for both planting Modules (Table 5). Thus, trees with higher H also present greater DBH and the selection option based on DBH should be reflected in estimates of expressive genetic gains for both traits (SAMPAIO et al., 2002; SEBBENN et al., 2009). Survival showed a low genetic correlation with the other silvicultural traits studied, that is, it is more influenced by the environmental effect than the plant development.

TABLE 5 Genetic correlation between silvicultural traits for Module I and II of riparian reforestation at 20 years of age.

	Module I		Module II	
	DBH	S	DBH	S
H	0.92**	0.49	0.94**	0.44
DBH	-	0.36	-	0.34

*P<0.01.

Mahalanobis Genetic Distances (Tocher)

The formation of groups, by the Tocher method, made it possible to group the genotypes into five groups (Table 6). Group 1 contains 60% of the evaluated

genotypes, showing similarities to the studied silvicultural traits, being far from groups 4 and 5. In these two groups we have the arboreal species *Ficus guaranitica* (7) and *Holocalyx balansae* (2), species with larger and lower silvicultural performances in riparian reforestation at 20 years of planting (SILVA et al., 2007). It can be observed that in Module I there is high genetic variability for the silvicultural traits studied due to the number of groups formed that do not occurred for Module II of planting. In planting Model II the genotypes were grouped into one group (Table 6).

TABLE 6 Mahalanobis GENE Distinction (Tocher) Grouping for genotypes of the Module I and II.

Group	Module I	Module II
	Genotypes	Genotypes
1	3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 11, 12, 14, 16, 18, 21	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 13, 14, 12
2	1, 15, 17, 19	-
3	9, 20	-
4	7	-
5	2	-

In general, there is a high genetic variability among the evaluated genotypes, as can be seen from the estimates of CVg and heritabilities. Thus, the modules of planting have a genetic variability and species diversity that may guarantees the survival and maintenance of the riparian reforestation. Riparian reforestation can be considered a germplasm bank with genetic diversity for seed production with quality and genetic variability.

CONCLUSIONS

Genotypes of the species have high genetic variability for the silvicultural traits H and DBH at 20 years of planting.

There is a high positive genetic correlation between DBH and H traits, and the direct selection in one trait will result in indirect selection in the other.

The high accuracy of the genotypic values of the genotypes for the silvicultural traits studied indicate that the estimated parameters are robust.

The mixed model method (REML/BLUP) is adequate for the estimation of genetic parameters and prediction of genotypic values in riparian reforestation module trials.

REFERENCES

- ALVES, M.C.; SOUZA, Z.M. Recuperação de área degradada por construção de hidroelétrica com adubação verde e corretivo. **Revista Brasileira de Ciências do Solo**, v. 32, p. 2505-2516, 2008.
- BARREIRA, S.; SEBBENNA, M.; SCOLFORO, J.R.S.; KAGEYAMA, P.Y. Diversidade genética e sistema de reprodução em população nativa de *Eremanthus erythropappus* (DC.) MacLeish sob exploração. **Scientia Forestalis**, n. 71, p. 119-130, 2006.
- CANUTO, D.S.O.; SILVA, A.M.; MORAES, M.L.T.; RESENDE, M.D.V. Estabilidade e adaptabilidade em testes de progênies de *Myracrodruon urundeuva* sob quatro sistemas de plantio. **CERNE**, v. 22, n. 2, p. 171-180, 2016.
- EMPRESA BRASILEIRA DE PESQUISA AGROPECUÁRIA - EMBRAPA. **Sistema brasileiro de classificação de solos**. 3.ed. Brasília, 2013. 353p.
- FARIAS NETO, J.T.; RESENDE, M.D.V.; OLIVEIRA, M.S.P.; NOGUEIRA, O.L.; FALCÃO, P.N.B.; SANTOS, N.S.A. Estimativas de parâmetros genéticos e ganhos de seleção em progênies de polinização aberta de açaizeiro. **Revista Brasileira de Fruticultura**, Jaboticabal - SP, v. 30, n. 4, p. 1051-1056, 2008.
- KAGEYAMA, P.Y.; DIAS, I.S. Aplicação da genética em espécies florestais nativas. **Silvicultura em São Paulo**, São Paulo, v. 16A, n.2, p.728-791, 1982.
- KAGEYAMA, P.Y.; GANDARA, F.B. Recuperação de áreas ciliares. In: RODRIGUES, R.R.; LEITÃO FILHO, H.F. (Ed.). **Matas ciliares conservação e recuperação**. 2.ed. São Paulo: Editora da Universidade de São Paulo, 2004. p. 249-269.
- KAMADA, T.; PICOLI, E.A.T.; ALFENAS, A.C.; CRUZ, C.D.; VIEIRA, R.F.; OTONI, W.C. Diversidade genética de populações naturais de *Diversidade genética de populações naturais de *Pfaffia glomerata* (Spreng.) Pedersen estimada por marcadores RAPD. *Acta Scientiarum Agronomy*. Maringá, v. 31, n. 3, p. 403-409, 2009*
- PIMENTEL, A.J.B.; GUIMARÃES, J.F.R.; SOUZA, M.A.; RESENDE, M.D.V.; MOURA, L.M.; ROCHA, J.R.A.S.C.; RIBEIRO, G. Estimação de parâmetros genéticos e predição de valor genético aditivo de trigo utilizando modelos mistos. **Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira**, Brasília, v.49, n.11, p.882-890, 2014.
- RESENDE, M. D. V. **O Software Selegen-Reml/Blup**. Documentos EMBRAPA, Campo Grande, 2006.
- RESENDE, M. D. V. Software Selegen-REML/BLUP: a useful tool for plant breeding. **Crop Breeding and Applied Biotechnology**. Viçosa, v. 16, p. 330-339, 2016.
- RESENDE, M.D.V.; DUARTE, J.B. Precisão e controle de qualidade em experimentos de avaliação de cultivares. **Pesquisa Agropecuária Tropical**. v. 37, n. 3, p. 182-194, 2007.
- SALVADOR, J.L.G. **Considerações sobre as matas ciliares e a implantação de reflorestamentos mistos nas margens de rios e reservatórios**. 2 ed. São Paulo: CESP/ARI, 1989. 15p. (Série Divulgação e Informação, 105).
- SAMPAIO, P.T.B.; RESENDE, M.D.V.; ARAÚJO, A.J. Estimativas de parâmetros genéticos e métodos de seleção para o melhoramento genético de *Pinus oocarpa* Schied. **Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira**, Brasília, v. 37, n. 5, p. 625-636, 2002.
- SANTOS, F.R.; DIAS, C.A.R. **Fascículo – Evolução**. Belo Horizonte: CAED-UFMG, 2013. 152 p.
- SANTOS, H.G.; JACMINE, P.K.T.; ANJOS, L.H.C.; OLIVEIRA, V.A.; OLIVEIRA, J.B.; COELHO, M.R.; LUMBRERAS, J.F.; CUNHA, T.J.F. **Sistema brasileiro de classificação de solos**. Rio de Janeiro: Embrapa Solos, 2013. 353p.
- SEBBENN, A.M.; FREITAS, M.L.M.; ZANATTOA, C.S.; MORAIS, E.; MORAES, M.A. Comportamento da variação genética entre e dentro de procedências e progênies de *Gallesia integrifolia* Vell. Moq. para caracteres quantitativos. **Revista do Instituto Florestal, São Paulo**, v. 21, n. 2, p. 151-163, 2009.
- SILVA, A.M.; CANUTO, D.S.O.; CAMBUIM, J.; MORAES, M.L.T. **Reflorestamento ciliar em diferentes modelos de plantio: margem do reservatório da hidroelétrica de Ilha Solteira**. 191p., 1. Ed., Curitiba, 2016.
- SILVA, J. M. AGUIAR, A. V.; MORI, E. S.; MORAES, M. L. T. Divergência genética entre progênies de *Pinus caribaea* var *caribaea* com base em caracteres quantitativos. **Pesquisa Florestal Brasileira**, Colombo, v. 32, n. 69, p. 69-77, 2012.
- ZARUMA, D.U.G.; CANUTO, D.S.O.; PUPIN, S.; CAMBUIM, J.; SILVA, A.M.; MORI, E.S.; SEBBENN, A.M.; MORAES, M.L.T. Variabilidade genética em procedências e progênies de *Dipteryx alata* vogel para fins de conservação genética e produção de sementes. **Scientia Forestalis**, Piracicaba, v. 43, n. 107, p. 609-615, 2015.