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Introduction: Oral-derived bacteremia may occur after several dental procedures and routine daily activities. Some conditions of the oral cavity may favor episodes of bacteremia. This would be the case of patients with diabetes mellitus and periodontitis, who exhibit exacerbated gingival inflammation and may be more prone to developing oral-derived bacteremia.

Objective: To compare the effectiveness of an independent culture method (quantitative real-time PCR-qCR) and the most commonly used method (BacT-ALERT 3D®) for the diagnosis of bacteremia.

Materials and methods: Blood samples were drawn from subjects with type 2 diabetes mellitus and chronic periodontitis before and after apple chewing. Samples were processed by an automated blood culture system (BacT-ALERT 3D®) monitored for 15 days with suitable subculture of positive cultures. In parallel, whole DNA from blood samples was purified using a commercial kit and screened by qPCR using a universal primer set of 16S rDNA for bacteria detection.

Results: Blood cultures taken before apple chewing were shown to be negative by the two diagnostic methods. After chewing, two samples (11%) showed bacterial growth by BacT-ALERT 3D® whereas qPCR did not detect the presence of bacteria in any sample.

Conclusions: qPCR did not show greater effectiveness than the BacT-ALERT 3D® in the detection of bacteremia of oral origin.
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Comparación entre métodos de cultivo independientes y dependientes para la detección de bacteriemia transitoria en individuos diabéticos con periodontitis crónica

Introducción. Las bacteriemias de origen oral pueden ocurrir después de procedimientos odontológicos y de otros actos cotidianos. Algunas condiciones de la cavidad oral favorecen las bacteriemias como en el caso de pacientes con diabetes mellitus y periodontitis que presentan inflamación gingival exacerbada.

Objetivo. Comparar la eficacia de un método independiente de cultivo (PCR cuantitativa) y otro dependiente (BacT-ALERT 3D®) en la detección de la bacteremia.

Materiales y métodos. Se tomaron muestras de sangre de individuos con diabetes mellitus de tipo II y periodontitis, antes y después de la masticación de manzana. Una alícuota se procesó por el sistema automatizado de hemocultivo (BacT-ALERT 3D®) y se monitorizó durante 15 días; la otra alícuota fue tratada para la extracción del ADN y procesada por RT-PCR usando un conjunto de cebadores de 16S rDNA exclusivos para bacterias.

Resultados. En las muestras tomadas antes de masticar se confirmó la ausencia de bacterias mediante los dos métodos. En las muestras tomadas después de masticar la presencia de bacterias se evidenció...
Bacteria may be transiently found in the bloodstream after dental healthcare procedures such as scaling and root planning (1-6), as well as during certain daily activities involving the gums, such as mastication or tooth brushing (5,7). However, these bacteria are normally eliminated by the host immune system after a short period of time (5,7,8). This event, known as transient bacteremia of oral origin, might lead to endocarditis (9) or favor other chronic processes, such as atherosclerosis (8,10,11).

Periodontitis is a polymicrobial infection caused by microorganisms that colonize and may invade periodontal tissues, leading to connective tissue and alveolar bone loss. Oral biofilm accumulation and the concomitant inflammatory response associated with periodontitis have been shown to be closely related to transient oral-derived bacteremia (12-14). The ulcerated pocket epithelium underlying the highly vascularized and dilated vascular network of the adjacent connective tissue contribute to the migration of microorganisms into the bloodstream (9). In addition, this process may be favored by intermittent changes in vessel pressure after any intervention surrounding the gum, because the blood pressure becomes negative, making it possible for bacteria to spill into the bloodstream (15). Therefore, the risk of presenting transient bacteremia depends not only on bacterial load, but also on the severity of gingival inflammation. From this perspective, patients with inflammatory response disorders may be more prone to developing transient bacteremia. This might be the case of patients diagnosed with diabetes mellitus (DM), who exhibit worse gingival inflammation when they suffer from periodontal disease (16-19). Therefore, these patients may be at an increased risk for developing transient bacteremia.

To date several methods, including dependent and independent culture techniques, have been used to detect bacteria in blood during oral-derived transient bacteremia (1,20-22). The most commonly used methods are the continuous-monitoring blood culture systems (23), such as the BacT-ALERT 3D®. However, these systems have several disadvantages, such as high cost, being time consuming, requiring a continuous power supply, frequent technical maintenance, the occurrence of false positive results due to contamination and having low sensitivity for the detection of some fastidious bacteria (23-25). On the other hand, molecular diagnostic techniques, such as polymerase chain reaction (PCR) may be more affordable and more sensitive than bacterial culture techniques, and may detect the fastidious microorganisms normally associated with the etiology of periodontitis. However, to date no studies have compared cultural and molecular techniques as regards their effectiveness in detecting the occurrence of bacteremia during chewing in patients with chronic periodontitis. Therefore, the aim of this study was to compare the effectiveness of an independent culture method (quantitative PCR- qPCR) and the method routinely used in clinical laboratory (BacT-ALERT 3D®) for diagnosing bacteremia in these patients.

Materials and methods

Study population

Eighteen subjects with type 2 DM with glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) levels ≥7.0% and ≤10%, (ADA, 2012) diagnosed with chronic periodontitis (ChP) (>40 years old; with at least 15 teeth excluding third molars and teeth with advanced decay indicated for extraction); a minimum of six teeth with at least one site with probing depth (PD) and clinical attachment level (CAL) ≥5 mm and bleeding on probing at baseline, and at least 30% of the sites with concomitant PD and CAL ≥4 mm were selected from the Dental Clinic of São Paulo University (FOUSP). All the participants signed a term of free and informed consent, which was
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approved by the Research Ethics Committee of FOUSP (#173 / 2010). A single trained examiner performed all clinical examinations.

**Induced bacteremia and blood sampling**

Bacteremia was induced by chewing a Fiji apple. The subjects were instructed to take three bites of the apple, chew and ingest them in about 2 minutes (22). They were also asked to avoid oral hygiene, and not to eat and drink (except water) for at least 8 hours before the dental appointment. The blood samples were collected by venipuncture. In order to prevent external contamination, sample collection was performed in accordance with the Standard Operational Procedure of the Clinical Laboratory Service of São Paulo University’s Hospital, which included the use of gloves, disinfection of the vial stopper with 70% alcohol, skin antisepsis with 70% alcohol and 10% providone iodine, and the use of sterile sets. Peripheral venous blood (10 mL) was drawn at baseline (T0) and 2 min ± 30 s after the first apple bite (T1) (22). An aliquot of the blood sample (T0) was inoculated in 6 mL K2 EDTA Vacutainer® tubes (BD Vacutainer®, Curitiba, PR, Brazil) and the second sample (T1) was stored at -80°C until processed for DNA extraction and suitable qPCR reaction.

**Sample processing**

Five milliliters of blood sample were inoculated in parallel in culture bottles for aerobic (Bact/Alert 3D FA- Biomerieux) and anaerobic microorganisms (Bact/Alert 3D FN- BioMérieux) and monitored for 15 days. In case of positive bacterial growth detection by the BacT-ALERT® (BioMérieux) system, a Gram stain of the culture was performed. Positives blood cultures were sub-cultured on blood and chocolate agar and incubated under anaerobic conditions. Sub-cultures were also performed on MacConkey agar and incubated under aerobic conditions. Bacteria were identified by the automated microbiology identification system VITEK®2 compact (BioMérieux, Inc. Hazelwood, MO). Total DNA extraction from blood samples was performed using the MasterPure™ complete DNA and RNA purification kit (Epicentre, Madison, WI, USA). Samples were processed for 16S rDNA detection by qPCR. The following primer set was used: 16SrDNA F:5’gtgStgcaYggYtgtcgtca 3’ and 16SrDNA R:5’acgtcRtccMcaccttcctc 3’ (26).

The reaction mixture was made in accordance with the Cycler® FastStart DNA Master PLUS SYBR Green I (Roche, Cat. No 03515 885001) manufacturer’s instructions by adding 2.5 μl of DNA template (20 ng/μL). Reactions were performed on a LightCycler 2.0 (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany). The following PCR conditions were used: 94°C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 10 s; 56°C for 5 s; and 72°C for 7 s. After amplification, the melting curve was made from 65 to 95°C with a plate read out at every 0.1°C. Calibration standard curves were prepared with serial dilutions (10⁷ to 10²) of DNA from a mock community of oral microorganisms with an equal number of genomes per species. The genome copies per reaction were calculated taking into account the individual genome size and the mean weight of one nucleotide pair (27). DNA from a mock community of oral microorganisms consisted of a mixture of genomic DNA from five species (*Porphyromonas gingivalis*, *Tannerella forsythia*, *Treponema denticola*, *Actinomyces odontyculus*, *Streptococcus oralis* and *Fusobacterium nucleatum*). Afterwards, the Ct value of each sample was plotted against the standard curve in order to determine the amount of target cells. The level of detection was set to (log₂) 10² bacteria.

**Results**

The patients’ demographics and mean periodontal clinical parameters are presented in table 1. Thirteen men and five women participated in the study. The mean age of the population was 55.45±10.14. The mean PD (3.59±1.4) and CAL (4.1±1.5) of the population included in this study characterize advanced periodontitis. Table 2 summarizes the microbiological data. No sample was positive for bacterial detection at T0, either by BacT-ALERT® test, or by qPCR. After apple chewing (T2), two samples out of the 18 subjects evaluated (11%) were positive for transient bacteremia by the BacT-ALERT® test. One blood

### Table 1. Demographic characteristics and mean (±SD) full-mouth clinical parameters of the subjects included in the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Base line</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>55.45±10.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (M/F)</td>
<td>13/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>85.5±15.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (m)</td>
<td>1.68±0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Mass Index</td>
<td>17.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probing depth (mm)</td>
<td>3.59 (±1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical attachment level (mm)</td>
<td>4.1 (±1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of sites with:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gingival bleeding</td>
<td>52 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bleeding on probing</td>
<td>59.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
culture was positive for Staphylococcus epidermidis and the other was positive for a Gram-positive, facultative anaerobic, rod-shaped bacterium.

As regards the analysis by qPCR, the standardization step indicated the set of primers was target specific, as shown by the melting curve analysis, and the DNA recovered from the samples was suitable for evaluation by PCR. Nevertheless, none of the screened samples was positive for bacterial detection by qPCR.

**Discussion**

We were able to detect oral-induced bacteremia after apple chewing by the BacT-ALERT® system in 2/18 (11%) subjects with type 2 DM suffering from ChP; however, bacterial detection by qPCR failed.

The lack of bacterial detection by qPCR, even in the samples that were positive in the hemoculture, may be due to the fact that there was an increased amount of host DNA, yielding an unbalanced microorganisms-to-host DNA ratio. This imbalance might have prevented the primer set from hybridizing with the target bacterial DNA, hampering the performance of PCR. This fact has been pointed out in other manuscripts dealing with samples in which human DNA was more concentrated in comparison with microbial DNA, e.g. blood, saliva and subgingival biofilm samples (28-30). In order to minimize this problem, some approaches could be used before performing PCR, such as depletion of human DNA or selection of prokaryotic DNA during extraction protocols (28-32).

One of the bacterial species identified in one individual blood sample was *S. epidermidis*, which has previously been isolated from blood cultures after tooth extraction (33). In addition, *S. epidermidis* has been detected in subgingival samples of patients with periodontitis by Murdoch, *et al.* (34), who found this species in 64.3% of subjects with ChP. Furthermore, Loberto, *et al.* (35), isolated Staphylococcus spp. from the subgingival samples of 37.5% of subjects with periodontitis, and *S. epidermidis* was the most frequently detected species. Similar results have previously been reported by Rams, *et al.* (36), who detected *Staphylococcus* spp. in the subgingival samples of 18.5% of adults with ChP, and 45.8% of the species detected were *S. epidermidis*. One might ask whether the coagulase negative *Staphylococcus* could be a contaminant (false-positive result) found in blood cultures. This contaminant is related to the commensal microbiota of the patient’s skin, and is therefore associated with inadequate skin preparation during blood collection (37-39).

In summary, the data of the present study suggested that qPCR does not show greater sensitivity than the BacT-ALERT 3D® system in the diagnosis of transitory bacteremia of oral origin in subjects with type 2 DM suffering from ChP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time point (number of samples)</th>
<th>Number of samples positive by BacT-ALERT®</th>
<th>Number of samples positive by qPCR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T₀ (n=18)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₁ (n=18)</td>
<td>2*</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Staphylococcus epidermidis and a Gram-positive facultative anaerobic rod-shaped bacterium identified by system VITEK2® compact
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