



Bragantia

ISSN: 0006-8705

editor@iac.sp.gov.br

Secretaria de Agricultura e  
Abastecimento do Estado de São Paulo  
Brasil

Mora, Freddy; Arriagada, Osvin

A classification proposal for coefficients of variation in Eucalyptus experiments involving  
survival, growth and wood quality variables

Bragantia, vol. 75, núm. 3, julio-septiembre, 2016, pp. 263-267

Secretaria de Agricultura e Abastecimento do Estado de São Paulo  
Campinas, Brasil

Available in: <http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=90846746002>

- How to cite
- Complete issue
- More information about this article
- Journal's homepage in redalyc.org

redalyc.org

Scientific Information System

Network of Scientific Journals from Latin America, the Caribbean, Spain and Portugal

Non-profit academic project, developed under the open access initiative

# A classification proposal for coefficients of variation in *Eucalyptus* experiments involving survival, growth and wood quality variables

Freddy Mora, Osvin Arriagada\*

Universidad de Talca - Instituto de Ciencias Biológicas - Talca - Chile.

**ABSTRACT:** The objective of this study was to present a classification proposal for coefficients of variation (CV) in *Eucalyptus* experiments, aiming at providing a useful tool for experiments involving growth, survival and wood quality traits. Ninety-four studies were selected from a search for peer-reviewed journals yielding a total of 508 data. The CVs were classified as low, moderate, high and very high. The mean, standard deviation, maximum and minimum values, skewness and kurtosis were estimated to describe the distribution shape. The Shapiro-Wilk test confirmed that all variables satisfied the

assumption of normality ( $p < 0.05$ ). The results indicated that the growth traits had the highest variability, being variables strongly affected by the environment. In contrast, all variables related to wood quality (except extractives) had the lowest range of CVs. In summary, the classification proposed in this report provides a useful tool for researchers interested in estimating the accuracy of their experiments.

**Key words:** *Eucalyptus* spp., experimental accuracy, experimental error, rank-ordering.

## INTRODUCTION

The accuracy is measured by the magnitude of the experimental error, which is the variation in the results due to the effect of uncontrolled factors (Steel et al. 1996). Therefore, the experimental error is influenced by the accuracy with which the experiments are performed. Accuracy estimation of an experiment is a procedure recommended by various journals in the publication of scientific papers (Oliveira et al. 2009). A low accuracy may lead to incorrect conclusions, increasing the type II error, which is the false conclusion that no significant difference exists when, in fact, there is a difference (Judice et al. 2002). Thus, the existence of a measure to estimate the experimental error is fundamental to compare different studies (Scapim et al. 1995).

In agricultural and forestry research, the precision of an experiment refers to how well the result has been determined, regardless of its proximity to the true value. The coefficient of variation (CV) has been used by researchers to describe the accuracy of their experiments (Dinardo-Miranda and Fracasso 2010; Araújo et al. 2012; Granato

et al. 2014), since it gives a general indication of the variation levels using the standard deviation as a fraction of the mean (Arnhold and Milani 2011). Consequently, Pimentel-Gomes (1985) conducted a classification of the CVs obtained from agricultural studies, which were classified as low for values lower than 10%, moderate for values from 10 to 20%, high for values higher than 20 up to 30% and very high for values higher than 30%. However, this general classification does not consider aspects such as the species, traits under investigation and experimental designs or types of treatments. According to Arnhold and Milani (2011), given that the variables can be more or less influenced by several factors, it is necessary a classification of the CV values per trait. In this sense, several studies have been performed to investigate the rank-ordering classification of CVs in maize (Scapim et al. 1995; Arnhold and Milani 2011; Fritsche Neto et al. 2012), soybean (Carvalho et al. 2003), cotton (Santos et al. 1998), rice (Costa et al. 2002), bean (Oliveira et al. 2009) and sugarcane (Couto et al. 2013). In these reports, the distribution of the CV values was classified as low, moderate, high and very high. This →

\*Corresponding author: [arriagada.lagos.o@gmail.com](mailto:arriagada.lagos.o@gmail.com)

Received: Sept. 25, 2015 – Accepted: Nov. 21, 2015

allows guiding researchers on the reliability of their results, where low values of CV are considered accurate.

The knowledge on the distribution of a variable is crucial to perform a classification proposal of CVs, because, when the data are normally distributed, the method that considers the mean and standard deviation to establish the limits of the classification is appropriate (Garcia 1989). In this sense, the Shapiro-Wilk test has been frequently used to verify the normality of CV values (Carvalho et al. 2003; Oliveira et al. 2009; Arnhold and Milani 2011). Moreover, according to Ahad et al. (2011), the Shapiro-Wilk is the most powerful normality test for small to medium sample sizes compared to the other tests, at all levels of skewness and kurtosis. On the other hand, when the data are not normally distributed, Costa et al. (2002) developed a method that does not depend on the normality assumption and, instead of the mean and standard deviation, it considers the median and pseudo-sigma to set the limits in the classification.

In the forest industry, the *Eucalyptus* genus is one of the most important and studied trees in the world (Schmit et al. 2015). The species are planted primarily for wood and pulp production; therefore, a series of breeding experiments have been conducted to improve growth and wood quality traits (Bundock et al. 2008; Mora and Serra 2014). However, little knowledge exists about the classification of the CV values in *Eucalyptus* experiments. In fact, Garcia (1989) carried out the only classification reported until now, which was performed for diameter at breast height, total height, cylindrical volume, survival, and failure rate. Therefore, we want to extend the study developed by Garcia (1989), aiming at performing a classification proposal of the CV values in *Eucalyptus* experiments involving survival, growth, and several wood quality traits.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

In this report, no attempt was made to stratify the data by experimental designs or types of treatments, according to the findings of a survey by Estefanel et al. (1987), who concluded that the variables have a greater effect on the CV classification than the experimental designs. The variables considered were diameter at breast height (DBH), total height (TH) and survival (SURV), and the wood quality traits: syringyl to guaiacyl ratio (S/G), Klason lignin content (KL),

total lignin content (TL), cellulose content (CC), extractives (EXT), Kraft pulp yield (KPY), and wood density (DEN).

Ninety-four studies were selected from a search for peer-reviewed journals published between 1988 and 2015, yielding a total of 508 data. When the CV values were not estimated by the authors, these were calculated as:

$$\%CV = (SD/m) \times 100$$

where: *SD* and *m* are the standard deviation and the mean of the phenotype data, respectively.

In articles where the experiments were performed over various years, the data for each age were considered independently, according to Cornelius (1994). The normality for each variable was evaluated by the Shapiro-Wilk test. The mean, SD, maximum and minimum values, skewness, and kurtosis were estimated to describe the distribution shape. The statistical analysis was performed using the function “basicStats” from the package “fBasics” in R software (R Development Core Team 2010). Additionally, a chi-square goodness-of-fit test at a significance level of 5% was used to confirm whether the observed distribution of each variable differs significantly from the normal distribution. As the variables were normally distributed, the CV classification was performed according to Garcia (1989) in which the values are considered as low when  $CV < (m - 1SD)$ , moderate  $(m - 1SD) \leq CV < (m + 1SD)$ , high  $(m + 1SD) \leq CV < (m + 2SD)$ , and very high  $CV \geq (m + 2SD)$ .

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A total of 508 values of CV were obtained from 94 studies involving different species of *Eucalyptus*. The CV values in each variable were normally distributed according to Shapiro-Wilk test at a significance level of 5% (Table 1). In addition, the chi-square test revealed that the observed distribution for each variable did not differ significantly from the normal distribution ( $\chi^2 = 11.07$ ; 5 degrees of freedom;  $p < 0.05$ ). However, when all the data were analyzed without separating by variable, as performed by Pimentel-Gomes (1985), the normality was not met ( $p < 0.001$ ). This result emphasizes the importance of analyzing the data per variable and determining its distribution as recommended by Estefanel et al. (1987) and Garcia (1989). Note that, when the data are not normally distributed, the use of the median and pseudo-sigma is more appropriate than the mean



and standard deviation to perform the classification of the CVs (Costa et al. 2002).

As the variables were normally distributed, the shape of the distribution was characterized by the kurtosis and skewness (Table 1). All variables showed positive asymmetry, varying between 0.288 (DBH) and 0.610 (SURV), except for TH (−0.169) and S/G (−0.200) where the asymmetry was negative. The kurtosis values were negative and varied from −0.162 to −1.106 for DBH and TH, respectively. Therefore, the shape of the distributions was classified as platykurtic given that it was relatively less peaky than the Gaussian distribution. In order to avoid possible bias due to the shape of the curves, the classification proposed by Costa et al. (2002) was also performed. However, the two methods showed similar classification of the CV values for each response variable (data not shown). This result is in accordance with previous reports by Costa et al. (2002), Carvalho et al. (2003) and Oliveira et al. (2009), who concluded that, when the variable is normally distributed, both methods are equivalent.

In general, the difference between the maximum and minimum values of CV was the highest in the variables related to growth. This result can be explained by the fact that these variables are naturally unstable and, therefore, are subjected to great variability due to the influence of numerous factors that contribute to the error, such as the environmental ones (O'Brien et al. 2007; Fritsche Neto et al. 2012). On the other hand, the variables related to wood quality showed the

narrowest difference between the maximum and minimum values. Therefore, these traits are considered as relatively stable or weakly affected by the environment.

The descriptive statistics and the classification of the CV values are shown in Table 2. The means for the DBH, TH and SURV variables were higher than the general classification previously performed by Garcia (1989) in *Eucalyptus* (Table 3). This difference in the results may be explained by the fact that Garcia (1989) used studies involving trees between three and seven years old and with three and four replications. According to several studies, these factors should also be considered for a more specific classification (Garcia 1989; Costa et al. 2002; Oliveira et al. 2009).

Generally, when there is not a classification for a particular species and variable, the researchers compare the CV values of their experiments with the ones suggested by Pimentel-Gomes (1985). Thus, as there is not a previous report for the variables of wood quality, the means of the CVs for S/G ratio (2.92%), KL (3.32%), TL (4.19%), CC (2.79%), KPY (3.01%), and DEN (6.61%) were considered low. This indicates that the majority of the variables related to wood quality are stable or weakly affected by the environment, except the EXT variable (17.58%), which was classified as moderate. Therefore, the present classification is recommended to guide researchers on the validity of their results and may lead to correct conclusions in studies involving these variables in *Eucalyptus*.

**Table 1.** Normality test and shape of the distribution of the coefficient of variation values for survival, growth and wood quality variables in *Eucalyptus*.

Traits	n	p (W)*	p ( $\chi^2$ )**	Skewness	Kurtosis
<b>Growth</b>					
DBH	78	0.13	0.07	0.29	−0.16
TH	45	0.05	0.53	−0.17	−1.11
SURV	32	0.05	0.34	0.61	−0.65
<b>Wood quality</b>					
S/G	43	0.26	0.69	−0.20	−0.99
KL	37	0.69	0.82	0.37	−0.25
TL	57	0.24	0.58	0.29	−0.62
CC	48	0.21	0.46	0.32	−0.54
EXT	63	0.11	0.30	0.47	−0.34
KPY	36	0.09	0.92	0.51	−0.51
DEN	69	0.14	0.43	0.42	−0.55
<b>Overall</b>	<b>508</b>	<b>&lt; 0.001</b>		<b>1.43</b>	<b>2.03</b>

\*p > 0.05 indicate that the data are normally distributed according to the Shapiro-Wilk test; \*\*p > 0.05 indicate that the data do not differ significantly from the normal distribution according to the chi-square goodness-of-fit test. n = Number of CV values; DBH = Diameter at breast height; TH = Total height; SURV = Survival; S/G = Syringyl to guaiacyl ratio; KL = Klason lignin content; TL = Total lignin content; CC = Cellulose content; EXT = Extractives; KPY = Kraft pulp yield; DEN = Wood density.

**Table 2.** Descriptive statistics and classification of coefficients of variation for survival, growth and wood quality variables in *Eucalyptus*.

Trait	Min	Max	Mean	SD	Classification			
					Low	Moderate	High	Very high
DBH	5.0	31.2	18.2	6.0	$CV < 12.2$	$12.2 \leq CV < 24.2$	$24.2 \leq CV < 30.2$	$CV \geq 30.2$
TH	4.2	21.0	12.4	4.4	$CV < 8.0$	$8.0 \leq CV < 16.8$	$16.8 \leq CV < 21.2$	$CV \geq 21.2$
SURV	6.4	48.3	24.0	11.7	$CV < 12.3$	$12.3 \leq CV < 35.7$	$35.7 \leq CV < 47.4$	$CV \geq 47.4$
S/G	0.5	5.2	2.9	1.3	$CV < 1.6$	$1.6 \leq CV < 4.2$	$4.2 \leq CV < 5.5$	$CV \geq 5.5$
KL	0.4	7.3	3.3	1.5	$CV < 1.8$	$1.8 \leq CV < 4.8$	$4.8 \leq CV < 6.3$	$CV \geq 6.3$
TL	0.7	9.7	4.2	2.1	$CV < 2.1$	$2.1 \leq CV < 6.3$	$6.3 \leq CV < 8.4$	$CV \geq 8.4$
CC	0.1	6.5	2.8	1.6	$CV < 1.2$	$1.2 \leq CV < 4.4$	$4.4 \leq CV < 6.0$	$CV \geq 6.0$
EXT	2.3	42.8	17.6	9.2	$CV < 8.4$	$8.4 \leq CV < 26.8$	$26.8 \leq CV < 36.0$	$CV \geq 36.0$
KPY	1.4	6.3	3.0	1.2	$CV < 1.8$	$1.8 \leq CV < 4.2$	$4.2 \leq CV < 5.4$	$CV \geq 5.4$
DEN	2.4	12.5	6.6	2.3	$CV < 4.3$	$4.3 \leq CV < 8.9$	$8.9 \leq CV < 11.2$	$CV \geq 11.2$
Overall	0.1	48.3	9.9	8.9	$CV < 1.0$	$1.0 \leq CV < 18.8$	$18.8 \leq CV < 27.7$	$CV \geq 27.7$

SD = Standard deviation; DBH = Diameter at breast height; CV = Coefficient of variation; TH = Total height; SURV = Survival; S/G = Syringyl to guaiacyl ratio; KL = Klason lignin content; TL = Total lignin content; CC = Cellulose content; EXT = Extractives; KPY = Kraft pulp yield; DEN = Wood density.

**Table 3.** Comparison of the results with those obtained by Garcia (1989) for survival and growth variables in *Eucalyptus*.

Trait	Mean	SD	Classification			
			Low	Moderate	High	Very high
DBH	18.2	6.0	$CV < 12.2$	$12.2 \leq CV < 24.2$	$24.2 \leq CV < 30.2$	$CV \geq 30.2$
Garcia (1989)	8.9	4.0	$CV < 4.9$	$4.9 \leq CV < 12.9$	$12.9 \leq CV < 16.9$	$CV \geq 16.9$
TH	12.4	4.4	$CV < 8.0$	$8.0 \leq CV < 16.8$	$16.8 \leq CV < 21.2$	$CV \geq 21.2$
Garcia (1989)	8.6	3.9	$CV < 4.7$	$4.7 \leq CV < 12.5$	$12.5 \leq CV < 16.4$	$CV \geq 16.4$
SURV	24.0	11.7	$CV < 12.3$	$12.3 \leq CV < 35.7$	$35.7 \leq CV < 47.4$	$CV \geq 47.4$
Garcia (1989)	11.8	7.1	$CV < 4.7$	$4.7 \leq CV < 18.9$	$18.9 \leq CV < 26.0$	$CV \geq 26.0$

SD = Standard deviation; DBH = Diameter at breast height; CV = Coefficient of variation; TH = Total height; SURV = Survival.

## CONCLUSION

In this study, several values of CV were calculated using the formula described in the “Material and Methods” section. In addition, many reviewed studies not even showed the parameters for calculating the CV. This somehow proves that researchers are not always aware of the precision with which their experiments are performed; therefore, it may generate incorrect conclusions when comparing their results with other studies. The growth traits are relatively unstable or strongly affected by the environment, making it difficult to control the experimental error. In contrast, according to the results, wood quality traits are stable or weakly affected

by the environment. In summary, the proposed classification provides a useful tool for researchers interested in estimating the accuracy of their experiments involving growth and wood quality traits.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Osvin Arriagada thanks to CONICYT for a doctoral fellowship (CONICYT-PCHA/Doctorado Nacional/año 2013-folio 21130812). Freddy Mora thanks to Chilean National Science and Technology Research Fund (FONDECYT), project No. 1130306.

## REFERENCES

- Ahad, N. A., Yin, T. S., Othman, A. R. and Yaacob, C. R. (2011). Sensitivity of normality tests to non-normal data. *Sains Malaysiana*, 40, 637-641.
- Araújo, L. F., Almeida, W. S., Bertini, C. H. C. M., Vidal Neto, F. C. and Bleicher, E. (2012). Correlations and path analysis in components of fiber yield in cultivars of upland cotton. *Bragantia*, 71, 328-335. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0006-87052012005000036>.
- Arnhold, E. and Milani, K. F. (2011). Rank-ordering coefficients of variation for popping expansion. *Acta Scientiarum. Agronomy*, 33, 527-531. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4025/actasciagron.v33i3.11911>.
- Bundock, P. C., Potts, B. M. and Vaillancourt, R. E. (2008). Detection and stability of quantitative trait loci (QTL) in *Eucalyptus globulus*. *Tree Genetics & Genomes*, 4, 85-95. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11295-007-0090-4>.
- Carvalho, C. G. P., Arias, C. A. A., Toledo, J. F. F., Almeida, L., Kiihl, R. A., Oliveira, M. F., Hiromoto, D. M. and Takeda, C. (2003). Proposal to categorize coefficients of variation for yield and plant height in soybean. *Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira*, 38, 187-193. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0100-204X2003000200004>.
- Cornelius, J. (1994). Heritabilities and additive genetic coefficients of variation in forest trees. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*, 24, 372-379. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/x94-050>.
- Costa, N. H. A. D., Seraphin, J. C. and Zimmermann, F. J. P. (2002). A new method of variation coefficient classification for upland rice crop. *Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira*, 37, 243-249. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0100-204X2002000300003>.
- Couto, M. F., Peternelli, L. A. and Barbosa, M. H. P. (2013). Classification of the coefficients of variation for sugarcane crops. *Ciencia Rural*, 43, 957-961. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0103-84782013000600003>.
- Dinardo-Miranda, L. L. and Fracasso, J. V. (2010). Spatial and temporal variability of plant-parasitic nematodes population in sugarcane. *Bragantia*, 69, 39-52. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0006-87052010000500006>.
- Estefanel, V., Pignataro, I. A. B. and Storck, L. (1987). Avaliação do coeficiente de variação de experimentos com algumas culturas agrícolas. *Proceedings of the Simpósio de Estatística Aplicada à Experimentação Agrônômica*. 2; Londrina, Brazil.
- Fritsche Neto, R., Vieira, R. A., Scapim, C. A., Miranda, G. V. and Rezende, L. M. (2012). Updating the ranking of the coefficients of variation from maize experiments. *Acta Scientiarum. Agronomy*, 34, 99-101. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4025/actasciagron.v34i1.13115>.
- Garcia, C. H. (1989). Tabelas para classificação do coeficiente de variação. Piracicaba: IPEF. Circular técnica, 171.
- Granato, I. S. C., Bermudez, F. P., Reis, G. G., Dovalle, J. C., Miranda, G. V. and Fritsche Neto, R. (2014). Index selection of tropical maize genotypes for nitrogen use efficiency. *Bragantia*, 73, 153-159. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/brag.2014.021>.
- Judice, M. G., Muniz, J. A., Aquino, L. H. and Bearzoti, E. (2002). Evaluation of experimental precision in beef cattle experiments. *Ciência e Agrotecnologia*, 26, 1035-1040.
- Mora, F. and Serra, N. (2014). Bayesian estimation of genetic parameters for growth, stem straightness, and survival in *Eucalyptus globulus* on an Andean Foothill site. *Tree Genetics & Genomes*, 10, 711-719. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11295-014-0716-2>.
- O'Brien, E. K., Mazanec, R. A. and Krauss, S. L. (2007). Provenance variation of ecologically important traits of forest trees: implications for restoration. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 44, 583-593. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2007.01313.x>.
- Oliveira, R. L., Muniz, J. A., Andrade, M. J. B. and Reiz, R. L. (2009). Experimental precision on bean crop experiments. *Ciência e Agrotecnologia*, 33, 113-119. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1413-70542009000100016>.
- Pimentel-Gomes, F. (1985). Curso de estatística experimental. Piracicaba: Nobel.
- R Development Core Team (2010). R: a language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- Santos, J. W., Moreira, J. A. N., Farias, F. J. C. and Freire, E. C. (1998). Evaluation of variation coefficients of some cotton characteristics: a proposal of classification. *Revista Brasileira de Oleaginosas e Fibras*, 2, 35-40.
- Scapim, C. A., Carvalho, C. G. P. and Cruz, C. D. (1995). A proposal of variation coefficient classification for corn growing. *Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira*, 30, 683-686.
- Schmit, R., Mora, F., Emhart, V. I. and Rubilar, R. (2015). Longitudinal analysis in the selection of *Eucalyptus globulus* clones under contrasting water availability conditions. *Scientia Forestalis*, 43, 217-224.
- Steel, R. G., Torrie, J. H. and Dickey, D. A. (1996). Principles and procedures of statistics: a biometrical approach. New York: McGraw-Hill.