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ABSTRACT

The paper documents the results of the experimental treatment of groundwater (flow rate: 300 m\textsuperscript{3} h\textsuperscript{-1}) polluted by the leachate of an old MSW landfill (7 million tonnes) in northern Italy. The process consists of a coagulation-flocculation pre-treatment at pH > 11, and subsequent ammonia stripping, after heating the water to 35-38 °C by means of the biogas produced by the landfill. The stripped ammonia was recovered by absorption with sulfuric acid, producing a 30% solution of ammonium sulfate, which was reused as a base fertilizer. In addition, the paper reports important operational aspects related to the scaling of the stripping tower’s packing and its effect on pH and temperature profiles inside the towers caused by the closed loop, which recirculates the stripping air coming from the ammonia absorption towers with sulfuric acid. The average removal efficiency of ammonia reached 95.4% with an inlet mean concentration of 199.0 mg L\textsuperscript{-1}.
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Estação experimental para o tratamento físico-químico de águas subterrâneas poluídas por chorume de um aterro de resíduos sólidos urbanos, com recuperação de amônia

RESUMO

O estudo relata os resultados de um tratamento experimental de águas subterrâneas (vazão: 300 m\textsuperscript{3} h\textsuperscript{-1}) poluídas pelo chorume de um antigo aterro onde foram acondicionadas cerca de 7 · 10\textsuperscript{6} toneladas de resíduos sólidos urbanos no norte da Itália. O processo de tratamento é constituído numa primeira etapa de coagulação-floculação a pH > 11, e subsequente remoção da amônia em torres de arraste após o aquecimento das águas residuais a 35-38 °C por meio do biogás do aterro. A amônia foi recuperada por absorção com o ácido sulfúrico, com a produção de uma solução a 30% de sulfato de amônia reutilizado como fertilizante de base. Neste artigo, são evidenciados importantes aspectos operacionais relacionados com a formação de incrustação nos materiais de enchimento das torres de arraste e os efeitos sobre o pH e perfis de temperatura no interior das torres de arraste causados pelo
circuit fechado de recirculação do ar vindo das torres de absorção de amônia com ácido sulfúrico. A eficiência média de remoção da amônia foi de 95,4%, com uma concentração média de entrada de 199,0 mg L\(^{-1}\).

Palavras-chave: extração de amoníaco, aterro sanitário, remediação de aquíferos.

1. INTRODUCTION

Groundwater is the main source of drinking water in many areas of the world, mainly in Europe. For this reason, it is important to preserve its quality. The leachate of municipal solid waste (MSW) landfills is one of the major potential sources of groundwater pollution (Regadío et al., 2012). The most important contaminants present in MSW leachate are ammonia, organic compounds (represented by the COD) and heavy metals (Kjeldsen et al., 2002). For landfill leachate treatment, various biological and physical-chemical techniques are used and more often than not the integration of different techniques is needed due to the waste complexity (Renou et al., 2008). One of the biggest problems is the removal of the very high concentrations of ammonia (400\(\text{ to }\)1200 mg L\(^{-1}\)) that remain stable throughout the life of the landfill, as opposed to other pollutants, which concentrations considerably change over time (Kjeldsen et al., 2002). Biological techniques for ammonia removal are widely used in the treatment of wastewaters with medium-low concentrations of this compound, such as domestic sewage (Raboni et al., 2013). This kind of process is somewhat limited in the treatment of leachate because of the very high concentrations of ammonia. However, some experiences are reported for biological treatment using a bio-filter (Fu et al., 2011), SBR-sequencing batch reactor (Spagni and Marsili-Libelli, 2009), wetland (Sun et al., 2012) and ponds (Leite et al., 2011), especially for old landfill leachates. In the Italian context, it is common to subject the leachate to pre-treatment (in general coagulation-flocculation) and then send the effluent to a municipal sewage treatment plant.

The physical-chemical processes proposed for the removal of ammonia from the leachate are indeed numerous. Among them are: air stripping, precipitation as magnesium ammonium phosphate (struvite), photochemical and electrochemical processes, ion-exchange and membrane processes, chemical oxidation and adsorption (Martin et al., 2005; Rada et al., 2013; Renou et al., 2008; De Brito et al., 2010). Particular mention must be made of the stripping process, which involves several applications in full-scale plants, even if most of them are based on rudimentary techniques, in order to carry out even simple leachate pre-treatments. Only in a few cases, the stripping process is the option for achieving a high ammonia removal efficiency and subsequent recovery (Kabdasli et al., 2000; Campos et al., 2013; Ferraz et al., 2013).

The experience reported in this paper is also based on a similar process, albeit applied to a very specific and unique situation. In fact, the experience concerns the treatment, with ammonia recovery, of groundwater contaminated by the leachate of an old municipal solid waste landfill located in an area north of Milan, Italy. Seven million tonnes of MSW were disposed in this landfill, without proper leachate control systems, before the first national legislation came into force in the field. The high COD, ammonia and other contaminants concentrations found in groundwater and their progressive migration enforced the remediation through pumping and treatment technology (Urbini and Conti, 2007), using five extraction-wells and a water treatment plant with the final effluent discharged to a nearby river.
2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. The treatment plant

The physical-chemical plant for the treatment of polluted groundwater consisted of two parallel lines. Figure 1 presents the simplified schematic of the process.

Groundwater drawn from the three most contaminated wells ($Q = 160 \text{ m}^3 \text{ h}^{-1}$) are first treated by coagulation-flocculation dosing 41% and 35% solutions of ferric chloride (dosage: 400 mg L$^{-1}$, as FeCl$_3$) and sodium hydroxide (dosage: 720 mg L$^{-1}$, as NaOH), respectively (pH increase up to pH>11 to convert the ion ammonium into free ammonia, in keeping with the subsequent stripping process). The chemicals’ dosage was preliminary assayed in lab tests.

The additional possibility of dosing powdered activated carbon is an option in the event of strong peaks of COD in the water. The effluent produced by the coagulation-flocculation phase is heated to a temperature of 35-38 °C (by means of the landfill biogas) to improve the efficiency of ammonia stripping. The decision to carry out stripping using preheated water was determined by the need to achieve highly efficient ammonia removal in order to comply with the limit imposed by legislation for the final discharge in a nearby stream (15 mg L$^{-1}$ of ammonia). The stripping air has a flow rate of 120,000 Nm$^3$ h$^{-1}$ for each line and crosses the towers in countercurrent to the water.

![Figure 1. Schematization of the physical-chemical process for the treatment of polluted groundwater.](image)

The two stripping towers (one tower per line), made of concrete, with an inner lining in polypropylene, have an internal diameter of 5.5 m and a 12 m packing height (Pall rings), divided into two consecutive stages to avoid excessive loads on the rings and the risk of crushing.
All the plant operating conditions (e.g. water heating temperature, stripping air flow rate) and features (e.g. packing height) were defined during preliminary tests carried out on a small pilot plant.

The air leaving the stripping towers, enriched with ammonia, fed the absorption towers (two towers per line) made of polypropylene, with an internal diameter of 3.6 m and a 9 m packing height. A countercurrent recirculating absorbent solution containing sulfuric acid is fed to the air flow. The towers bottom flow consisted of a 30% ammonium sulfate solution (approximately 2,500 kg d\(^{-1}\) as pure ammonium sulfate), which was reused as base fertilizer.

The air is therefore used in a closed circuit between the stripping and the absorption units. The effluent from the stripping towers was cooled with heat recovery and then filtered through sand beds prior to the final pH neutralization and discharge into the stream. Weakly contaminated groundwater (\(Q = 140\,\text{m}^3\,\text{h}^{-1}\)) is fed through in this final stage of filtration and neutralization, giving the added benefit of reducing consumption of the neutralizing acid. In particular, the weakly contaminated groundwater quality was reported as follows: COD < 20 mg L\(^{-1}\); NH\(_3\) < 6 mg L\(^{-1}\); Cl\(^{-}\) < 36 mg L\(^{-1}\); Fe < 1 mg L\(^{-1}\); Ni < 0.031 mg L\(^{-1}\); Zn < 0.070 mg L\(^{-1}\); Cu < 0.015 mg L\(^{-1}\); Pb < 0.010 mg L\(^{-1}\).

As stipulated by local regulations, the main quality target values for the final effluent are: 15 mg L\(^{-1}\) for ammonia; 120 mg L\(^{-1}\) for COD; pH in the range 5.5±9.5.

2.2. Research main lines and analytical methods

The research focused on two main aspects:

a) Checking the concentrations of the main pollution parameters of the groundwater fed into the physical-chemical process and the removal efficiency of the various stages of treatment. For this purpose, analytical determinations were carried out at the following sampling points (Figure 1): incoming highly polluted waters (1); output of pre-treatment (coagulation-floculation) at pH> 11 (2); output of the stripping tower (3); final effluent sent to the water course (4). For each point, the following parameters were analyzed (three times a week), since significant results had been obtained during previous analytical groundwater tests: COD, ammonia, chloride, iron, nickel and zinc.

b) Checking the effects on the operation of the stripping towers where high values of pH and temperature occurred and also the effects of using air in a closed circuit. For this purpose, analytical determinations were carried out at points 1, 2, 3, 4 for hardness and alkalinity in relation to the risk of precipitation and scaling. Scaling was assessed by measuring both its weight and composition on single packing elements. Furthermore, pH and temperature levels in the stripping towers were also measured at input and output to assess the effects of the closed loop air flow coming from the absorption tower with the sulfuric acid.

The monitoring campaign lasted for three months. For the various parameters analyzed, average daily values and deviation standards were obtained and shown in the illustrative graphs. Official standard methods approved by Italian law and developed by APAT IRSA CNR (2003) were adopted for sampling and analysis.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Performance of the plant with reference to the main pollution parameters

Figure 2 shows the analytical results relating to the four points monitored on the plant. What appears to be particularly significant is the contamination of raw wastewaters by COD (370.7 mg L\(^{-1}\) as mean value; peak of 480 mg L\(^{-1}\)) and ammonia (199 mg L\(^{-1}\) as the average value; peak of 340 mg L\(^{-1}\)). Following the coagulation-floculation phase, the COD shows an average 51% decrease and there is a further, albeit small, removal in the stripping tower, which is believed to be caused partly by the transportation of volatile organic
compounds in the air phase and partly by the oxidative action of oxygen on a few reducing compounds. The end stage (point 4) reduced the COD to an average level of 77.8 mg L\(^{-1}\).

Ammonia present in raw groundwater at an average concentration of 199 mg L\(^{-1}\) undergoes noticeable removal during the coagulation-flocculation step because of the high pH, while, as expected, the most significant removal occurs during the stripping phase, where the outlet concentration drops to the mean value of 22.5 mg L\(^{-1}\) (average efficiency of 93%). The final result (point 4) shows a reduction in ammonia concentration to an average level of 9.2 mg L\(^{-1}\).

Chloride occurs in raw water at an average concentration of 155.2 mg L\(^{-1}\), and undergoes a significant increase following the coagulation-flocculation stage because of the dosage of ferric chloride as coagulant reagent. The end result (point 4) raises chlorides to an average level of 210.4 mg L\(^{-1}\).

![Figure 2](image-url)

**Figure 2.** Concentrations of COD, ammonia and chloride at the four sampling points on the plant (average values and standard deviation).

With regard to the ammonia removal efficiency in the stripping towers, it should be noted that the two towers were prone to such severe scaling on the packing as to require periodic washing (every six months) with a 30% solution of hydrochloric acid. During operation periods with cleaned packing, efficiency reached average values of over 99% with average ammonia concentrations in the output of 4.1 mg L\(^{-1}\) (and peak values of 8.2 mg L\(^{-1}\)).

As regards the metals (Figure 3), findings showed their progressive removal during the various stages of treatment, with obviously greater incidence during the initial stage of coagulation-flocculation at high pH, when metals precipitate as hydroxides. A certain amount of removal was also detected in the stripping tower, which can be correlated to the formation of carbonate and hydroxide precipitates that were found in low concentrations in the scale on the packing.
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3.2. Checking operating problems

Lime scale coating the stripping tower packing was found to be the greatest operating problem (however, it also affected the first heat-exchanger for raising the temperature of the water). The progressive increase of scaling led to a loss of over 40% of the packing interfacial surface: the weight of each Pall rings increased approximately sevenfold (Figure 4).

![Figure 4. Single components (Pall ring) of the stripping towers packing, clean and at the highest degree of scaling.](image_url)
The scaling phenomenon is inevitably influenced by the temperature, but another important factor is the hardness and alkalinity parameters of the water. The graph in Figure 5 shows the values of these parameters at the four sampling points during the whole physical-chemical process.

**Figure 5.** Concentrations of total hardness and total alkalinity at the four sampling points of the plant (average values and standard deviation).

The total hardness (consisting of approximately 90% of calcium hardness) present in the raw water at an average concentration of 295 mg CaCO$_3$ L$^{-1}$ undergoes a sharp reduction in the coagulation-flocculation stage. In fact, the high operating pH involves the precipitation of calcium carbonate and magnesium hydroxide, according to the following reactions:

$$\text{Ca(HCO}_3\text{)}_2 + 2 \text{NaOH} \rightarrow \text{CaCO}_3 + \text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3 + 2 \text{H}_2\text{O}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$\text{Mg(HCO}_3\text{)}_2 + 4 \text{NaOH} \rightarrow \text{Mg(OH)}_2 + 2 \text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3 + 2 \text{H}_2\text{O}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$\text{MgCl}_2 + 2 \text{NaOH} \rightarrow \text{Mg(OH)}_2 + 2 \text{NaCl}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

A further, albeit small, removal of total hardness occurs in the stripping stage due to chemical precipitation. The final concentration of 148.4 mg CaCO$_3$ L$^{-1}$ in the final effluent is merely the consequence of mixing it with poorly contaminated groundwater, whose total hardness is roughly 290÷340 mg CaCO$_3$ L$^{-1}$. As regards the formation of precipitates, a crucial role is played by the alkalinity of the water (mainly carbonatic) whose significant increase, as the result of increased pH, leads to exceed the solubility product of calcium carbonate.

Total alkalinity shows appreciable removal in the stripping tower. This is not only due to the precipitation of carbonate salts and ammonia stripping, but also to the acidic character of...
stripping air coming from the absorption towers with sulfuric acid. This last effect was mitigated by an efficient demister with which all towers were equipped (even if it is impossible to avoid saturating the air flow with the acidic solution crossing the absorption towers). This phenomenon results in an average loss of about 0.6 pH points in the water crossing the stripping, as shown in Figure 6. The same figure shows the trend of the average water temperature at the input and output of the towers.

The values of pH and temperature reported in Figure 6 refer to ten samplings carried out over a two-month period in winter. It was noted that the water crossing the stripping towers also undergoes a significant cooling effect from the mean value of 36.5 °C (inlet) to an average value of 24.0 °C (outlet) due to the stripping air flow.

It is worth observing that the "closed loop" of stripping air has the advantage of maintaining a stable temperature level for the same air and consequently for the water, especially in winter. The use of an "open" system utilizing ambient air for stripping would in fact lead to a more drastic drop in temperature and to the phenomena of "icing", considering that in winter the ambient air temperature may fall to 10-15 °C below zero.

These phenomena (the lowering of pH and temperature) inevitably draw attention to the validity, or not, of a "closed loop" air system such as the one used in the plant for the stripping air, as compared with an “open” system that utilizes fresh air and disperses the air flow from the absorption tower into the atmosphere. This latter solution would eliminate the acidification effect in the stripping tower and would allow the stripping process to operate at a lower pH or at lower temperatures for the inlet water, with beneficial effects on scale formation, but it would also create an excessive drop in temperature in winter and a considerably negative impact on the efficiency of the stripping process, not to mention causing icing problems inside the towers.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The trials consisted of testing a physical-chemical plant for the treatment of groundwater contaminated by the leachate of an old MSW landfill without proper leachate control systems. Groundwater fed into the plant is drawn from five barrier wells, three of which are highly...
contaminated by ammonia (mean 199.0 mg L\(^{-1}\); peak of 340.0 mg L\(^{-1}\)) and COD (mean 370.7 mg L\(^{-1}\); peak of 480.0 mg L\(^{-1}\)) while the other two barrier wells are weakly contaminated (ammonia<6 mg L\(^{-1}\); COD < 20 mg L\(^{-1}\)). The whole process involves the treatment of the highly contaminated groundwater \((Q = 160 \text{ m}^3\text{h}^{-1})\) by means of coagulation-flocculation at pH> 11, heating at 35-38 °C (supported by landfill biogas) to favor the next phase of ammonia stripping in packed towers. Once stripped, ammonia is recovered in the absorption tower as a 30% solution of ammonium sulfate which is reused as a base fertilizer. The stripping air circulates between the stripping towers and the absorption towers in a “closed loop”, i.e., without emission into the atmosphere. After the stripping stage, the water is subjected to cooling with heat recovery, sand filtration and final neutralization of pH, with final discharge to a small watercourse. Weakly contaminated groundwater \((Q = 140 \text{ m}^3\text{h}^{-1})\) is fed directly into the final stage of filtration-neutralization.

The experimental results demonstrate the ability of the plant to restore the pollution parameters in accordance with the limits of acceptability for the discharge into surface watercourses, as stated by local regulations (COD: 120 mg L\(^{-1}\); ammonia: 15 mg L\(^{-1}\); pH: 5.5–9.5). In particular, the COD plummets from the mean value of 370.7 mg L\(^{-1}\) in raw waters to 77.5 mg L\(^{-1}\) in the final effluent. Similarly, ammonia is reduced from 199.0 mg L\(^{-1}\) to 9.20 mg L\(^{-1}\). Moreover, the main metals (e.g. Fe, Zn, Ni) undergo adequate removal.

The experiment also highlighted important operational aspects related to the progressive scaling (predominantly limestone) of stripping packing materials (Pall rings) with a loss of more than 40% of the interfacial surface and an increase in weight of up to 7 times the initial weight (clean Pall rings). One cause of this phenomenon is the high water temperature, but additional factors are the high values of total hardness and alkalinity. To avoid excessive removal efficiency losses, the packing is periodically washed (every six months) with a 30% solution of hydrochloric acid. A further operational problem that came to light is the noticeable drop in the pH of water while crossing the stripping tower. This is caused not only by the loss of ammonia and limestone precipitation, but also by the acidic character of the recirculated process air fed into the stripping towers. This phenomenon results in an approximate 0.6 point reduction in pH, without which it is believed that less drastic operating conditions in terms of pH and temperature could be used. In this sense, attention should be given to finding a solution for ammonia recovery by using air in an open circuit, i.e., with the use of ambient air for the stripping phase. However, results show that the closed-loop air system adopted in the experimental plant allows a water temperature of 24.0±36.5 °C into the stripping towers during winter (also with outside temperatures of -15 °C), whereas the open system would lead to drastic reductions in temperature in the towers with significant losses of efficiency and the risk of icing.
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